

Global L_p - L_q estimates for solutions to the third initial-boundary value problem for the heat equation in a bounded domain

Ryôhei Kakizawa*

Abstract

We discuss the unique solvability of the third initial-boundary value problem for the heat equation in a bounded domain. This problem has uniquely a time-global solution in the anisotropic Sobolev space $W_{p,q}^{2,1}$ for any $1 < p < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$. Moreover, exponentially weighted L_p - L_q estimates for time-global solutions can be established. We prove the above properties by L_p estimates for steady solutions to the heat equation, the theory of analytic semigroups on Banach spaces and the operator-valued Fourier multiplier theorem on UMD spaces.

1 Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n \geq 2$) with its C^2 -boundary $\partial\Omega$. We consider the following initial-boundary value problem for the heat equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u - \operatorname{div}(\kappa \nabla u) &= f && \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u|_{t=0} &= u_0 && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \kappa \partial_\nu u + \kappa_s u|_{\partial\Omega} &= g && \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $0 < T \leq \infty$, $\kappa \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfies $\kappa > 0$ on $\overline{\Omega}$, $\kappa_s \in C^1(\partial\Omega)$ satisfies $\kappa_s > 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, u_0 , f and g are arbitrarily given functions in Ω , in $\Omega \times (0, T)$ and on $\partial\Omega \times (0, T)$ respectively, $\nu \in C^2(\partial\Omega)$ is the outward unit normal vector on $\partial\Omega$. The unique solvability of (1.1) in the anisotropic Sobolev space $W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0, T))$ ($1 < p < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$) has been studied in recent years. Weidemaier [13] discussed the unique solvability of (1.1) in $W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0, T))$ for any $0 < T < \infty$, $3/2 < p \leq q < \infty$, which remains to consider the case where $T = \infty$, $1 < p < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$. The main purpose of this paper is to obtain the unique solvability of (1.1) in $W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0, T))$ by the argument based on [12]. First, (1.1) has uniquely a solution in $W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0, T))$ for any $0 < T \leq \infty$, $1 < p < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$. Second, exponentially weighted L_p - L_q estimates for time-global solutions to (1.1) are established.

This paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we define basic notation used in this paper, and state our main results and some lemmas for them. L_p - L_q estimates for time-global solutions to (1.1) are established in section 3. In section 4, we prove not only the

*Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba Meguro-ku Tokyo 153-8914, Japan (*E-mail address*: kakizawa@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp)

unique solvability of (1.1) in $W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0, T))$ for any $0 < T \leq \infty$, $1 < p < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$ but also exponentially weighted L_p - L_q estimates for time-global solutions to (1.1). Finally, as a simple application of L_p - L_q estimates for time-global solutions to (1.1), we consider the following initial-boundary value problem for the semilinear heat equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u - \operatorname{div}(\kappa \nabla u) - |u|^{r-1}u &= f & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u|_{t=0} &= u_0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \kappa \partial_\nu u + \kappa_s u|_{\partial\Omega} &= g & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where $r > 1$. It is proved in section 5 that (1.2) has uniquely a solution in $W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0, T))$ for any $0 < T \leq \infty$, $1 < p < \infty$, $2 < q < \infty$ and that L_p - L_q estimates for time-local and time-global solutions to (1.2) are established.

2 Preliminaries and main results

2.1 Function spaces

Function spaces and basic notation which we use throughout this paper are introduced as follows: Let G be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^n$. $(L_p(G), \|\cdot\|_{L_p(G)})$ and $(W_p^k(G), \|\cdot\|_{W_p^k(G)})$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \geq 0$) are the Lebesgue space and the Sobolev space respectively, $W_p^0(G) = L_p(G)$. $B_{p,q}^r(G)$ ($1 < p < \infty$, $1 \leq q < \infty$, $0 < r < \infty$) is the Besov space [1, 7.30–7.49] defined as $B_{p,q}^r(G) = (L_p(G), W_p^{\langle r \rangle}(G))_{r/\langle r \rangle, q}$, where $(X_0, X_1)_{\theta, q}$ ($0 < \theta < 1$) is an interpolation space between two Banach spaces X_0 and X_1 by the K-method or the J-method, $\langle r \rangle = \min\{k \in \mathbb{Z} ; k > r\}$. $(B_{p,q,0}^r(G), \|\cdot\|_{B_{p,q,0}^r(G)})$ is the Banach space of all functions which are in $B_{p,q}^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and have support on $\bar{G}$, $\|u\|_{B_{p,q,0}^r(G)} = \|u\|_{B_{p,q}^r(G)} + \|t^{-(r+1/q)}\|u\|_{L_p(G^t)}\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+)}$, where $G^t := \{x \in G ; \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial G) < t\}$ for any $t > 0$.

Let I be an open interval in $\mathbb{R}$, $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ be a Banach space. $(L_q(I; X), \|\cdot\|_{L_q(I; X)})$ and $(W_q^l(I; X), \|\cdot\|_{W_q^l(I; X)})$ ($1 \leq q \leq \infty$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $l \geq 0$) are the Lebesgue space and the Sobolev space of X -valued functions respectively, $W_q^0(I; X) = L_q(I; X)$. $(W_{p,q}^{k,l}(G \times I), \|\cdot\|_{W_{p,q}^{k,l}(G \times I)})$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \geq 0$) is the anisotropic Sobolev space defined as

$$\begin{aligned} W_{p,q}^{k,l}(G \times I) &= L_q(I; W_p^k(G)) \cap W_q^l(I; L_p(G)), \\ \|u\|_{W_{p,q}^{k,l}(G \times I)} &= \|u\|_{L_q(I; W_p^k(G))} + \|u\|_{W_q^l(I; L_p(G))}. \end{aligned}$$

In the case where $I = (0, T)$, we introduce the following function spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} W_{q,0}^l((0, T); X) &= \{u \in W_q^l((-\infty, T); X) ; u(t) = 0 \text{ for any } t < 0\}, \\ W_{q,0}^0((0, T); X) &= L_{q,0}((0, T); X), \\ W_{p,q,0}^{k,l}(G \times (0, T)) &= \{u \in W_{p,q}^{k,l}(G \times (-\infty, T)) ; u(t) = 0 \text{ for any } t < 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

The Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}_x[f(x)](\xi)$, the inverse Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}_\xi^{-1}[g(\xi)](x)$, the Fourier-Laplace transform $\mathcal{L}_{(x,t)}[f(x,t)](\xi, \lambda)$ and the inverse Fourier-Laplace transform $\mathcal{L}_{(\xi, \lambda_2)}^{-1}[g(\xi, \lambda)](x, t)$ are defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{F}_x[f(x)](\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\sqrt{-1}x \cdot \xi} f(x) dx$$

for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\mathcal{F}_\xi^{-1}[g(\xi)](x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\sqrt{-1}x \cdot \xi} g(\xi) d\xi$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\mathcal{L}_{(x,t)}[f(x,t)](\xi, \lambda) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{(n+1)/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\lambda t} dt \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\sqrt{-1}x \cdot \xi} f(x,t) dx$$

for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \sqrt{-1}\lambda_2$, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathcal{L}_{(\xi, \lambda_2)}^{-1}[g(\xi, \lambda)](x, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{(n+1)/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{\lambda t} d\lambda_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{\sqrt{-1}x \cdot \xi} g(\xi, \lambda) d\xi$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}_t[f(t)](\lambda_2)$ with respect to $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and the inverse Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda_2}^{-1}[g(\lambda_2)](t)$ with respect to $\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ are similarly defined. It can be easily seen that

$$\mathcal{L}_{(x,t)}[f(x,t)](\xi, \lambda) = \mathcal{F}_{(x,t)}[e^{-\lambda_1 t} f(x,t)](\xi, \lambda_2) \quad (2.1)$$

for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \sqrt{-1}\lambda_2$, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathcal{L}_{(\xi, \lambda_2)}^{-1}[g(\xi, \lambda)](x, t) = e^{\lambda_1 t} \mathcal{F}_{(\xi, \lambda_2)}^{-1}[g(\xi, \lambda)](x, t) \quad (2.2)$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{R}$. $(H_q^s(\mathbb{R}; X), \|\cdot\|_{H_q^s(\mathbb{R}; X)})$ ($1 \leq q \leq \infty$, $s \geq 0$) is the Bessel-potential space defined as

$$H_q^s(\mathbb{R}; X) = \{u \in L_q(\mathbb{R}; X) ; \langle d_t \rangle^s u \in L_q(\mathbb{R}; X)\},$$

$$\langle d_t \rangle^s u(t) = \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_2}^{-1}[(1 + \lambda_2^2)^{s/2} \mathcal{F}_t[u(t)](\lambda_2)](t),$$

$$\|u\|_{H_q^s(\mathbb{R}; X)} = \|u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; X)} + \|\langle d_t \rangle^s u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; X)},$$

$$H_q^s((0, T); X) = \{u \in L_q((0, T); X) ; \exists v \in H_q^s(\mathbb{R}; X), v(t) = u(t) \text{ for any } 0 < t < T\},$$

$$\|u\|_{H_q^s((0, T); X)} = \inf\{\|v\|_{H_q^s(\mathbb{R}; X)} ; \forall v \in H_q^s(\mathbb{R}; X), v(t) = u(t) \text{ for any } 0 < t < T\},$$

$$H_{p,q}^{k,s}(G \times \mathbb{R}) = L_q(\mathbb{R}; W_p^k(G)) \cap H_q^s(\mathbb{R}; L_p(G)),$$

$$\|u\|_{H_{p,q}^{k,s}(G \times \mathbb{R})} = \|u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; W_p^k(G))} + \|u\|_{H_q^s(\mathbb{R}; L_p(G))},$$

$$H_{p,q,0}^{k,s}(G \times \mathbb{R}_+) = \{u \in H_{p,q}^{k,s}(G \times \mathbb{R}) ; u(t) = 0 \text{ for any } t < 0\},$$

$$H_{p,q,0}^{k,s}(G \times (0, T)) = \{u \in L_q((0, T); L_p(G)) ; \exists v \in H_{p,q,0}^{k,s}(G \times \mathbb{R}_+), v(t) = u(t) \text{ for any } 0 < t < T\},$$

$$\|u\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{k,s}(G \times (0, T))} = \inf\{\|v\|_{H_{p,q}^{k,s}(G \times \mathbb{R})} ; \forall v \in H_{p,q,0}^{k,s}(G \times \mathbb{R}_+), v(t) = u(t) \text{ for any } 0 < t < T\}.$$

$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}; X)$ is the set of all X -valued functions which are rapidly decreasing in $\mathbb{R}$.

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ be Banach spaces. $(\mathcal{B}(X; Y), \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}(X; Y)})$ is the Banach space of all bounded linear operators from X to Y , $\mathcal{B}(X) = \mathcal{B}(X; X)$,

$$\|A\|_{\mathcal{B}(X; Y)} = \sup_{x \in X \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\|Ax\|_Y}{\|x\|_X}.$$

$(l_q^s, \|\cdot\|_{l_q^s})$ ($1 \leq q \leq \infty$, $s \geq 0$) is the Banach space of all $\mathbb{C}$ -valued sequences defined as

$$l_q^s = \{(a_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} ; a_j \in \mathbb{C} (j \in \mathbb{Z}), \|(a_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{l_q^s} < \infty\},$$

$$\|(a_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{l_q^s} = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |2^{js} a_j|^q \right)^{1/q} & \text{if } 1 \leq q < \infty, \\ \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{js} |a_j| & \text{if } q = \infty. \end{cases}$$

It follows from [4, Theorem 5.6.1] that $l_q^s = (l_\infty^1, l_\infty^0)_{s,q}$ for any $1 < q < \infty$, $0 < s < 1$.

2.2 Strongly elliptic operator in L_p

The strongly elliptic operator A_p ($1 < p < \infty$) in $L_p(\Omega)$ with the zero Robin boundary condition is defined as $A_p = -\operatorname{div}(\kappa \nabla \cdot)$, $\mathcal{D}(A_p) = \{u \in W_p^2(\Omega) ; \kappa \partial_\nu u + \kappa_s u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}$, where $\mathcal{D}(A_p)$ is the domain of A_p . It is the same as in [10, Theorems 2.5.2 and 7.3.6] that A_p is a sectorial operator in $L_p(\Omega)$. Therefore, $-A_p$ generates an uniformly bounded analytic semigroup $\{e^{-tA_p}\}_{t \geq 0}$ on $L_p(\Omega)$, fractional powers A_p^α of A_p can be defined for any $\alpha \geq 0$, $A_p^0 = I_p$, where I_p is the identity operator in $L_p(\Omega)$. Let us introduce Banach spaces derived from A_p^α . $(X_p^\alpha(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{X_p^\alpha(\Omega)})$ and $X_{p,q}(\Omega)$ ($1 < q < \infty$) are defined as $X_p^\alpha(\Omega) = \mathcal{D}(A_p^\alpha)$ with the norm $\|u\|_{X_p^\alpha(\Omega)} = \|A_p^\alpha u\|_{L_p(\Omega)}$ and $X_{p,q}(\Omega) = (L_p(\Omega), X_p^1(\Omega))_{1-1/q, q}$ respectively. Λ_1 is the first eigenvalue of $-\operatorname{div}(\kappa \nabla \cdot)$ with the zero Robin boundary condition.

We state some lemmas concerning sectorial operators in Banach spaces and the characterization of $X_{p,q}(\Omega)$. See, for example, [9, Chapter 1], [10, Chapter 2] about the theory of analytic semigroups on Banach spaces and fractional powers of sectorial operators.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $0 < \lambda_1 < \Lambda_1$. Then*

$$\|A_p^\alpha e^{-tA_p}\|_{B(L_p(\Omega))} \leq C t^{-\alpha} e^{-\lambda_1 t}, \quad (2.3)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n , Ω , p , κ , κ_s , α and λ_1 .

Proof. It is [9, Theorem 1.4.3]. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Then*

$$X_p^\alpha(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W_r^k(\Omega) \text{ if } \frac{1}{p} - \frac{2\alpha - k}{n} \leq \frac{1}{r} \leq \frac{1}{p}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $\hookrightarrow$ is the continuous inclusion.

Proof. It is [9, Theorem 1.6.1]. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$. Then*

$$X_{p,q}(\Omega) = \begin{cases} \{u \in B_{p,q}^{2(1-1/q)}(\Omega) ; \kappa \partial_\nu u + \kappa_s u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\} & \text{if } 2(1 - 1/q) > 1 + 1/p, \\ \{u \in B_{p,q}^{2(1-1/q)}(\Omega) ; \kappa \partial_{\tilde{\nu}} u + \tilde{\kappa}_s u \in B_{p,q,0}^{1/p}(\Omega)\} & \text{if } 2(1 - 1/q) = 1 + 1/p, \\ B_{p,q}^{2(1-1/q)}(\Omega) & \text{if } 2(1 - 1/q) < 1 + 1/p, \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

where $\tilde{\kappa}_s \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ and $\tilde{\nu} \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ are extensions of κ_s and ν to $\overline{\Omega}$ respectively.

Proof. It is [8, Proposition 1.25 and Theorem 3.5]. $\square$

2.3 Main results

First, we shall prove the unique solvability of (1.1) in $W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0, T))$ for any $0 < T < \infty$, $1 < p < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$ and L_p - L_q estimates for solutions to (1.1) uniformly in T .

Theorem 2.1. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with its C^2 -boundary $\partial\Omega$, $\kappa \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfy $\kappa > 0$ on $\overline{\Omega}$, $\kappa_s \in C^1(\partial\Omega)$ satisfy $\kappa_s > 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, $0 < T < \infty$, $1 < p < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$, $u_0 \in X_{p,q}(\Omega)$, $f \in L_q((0, T); L_p(\Omega))$, $g \in H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times (0, T))$. Then (1.1) has uniquely a solution $u \in W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0, T))$ satisfying*

$$\|u\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0, T))} \leq C_{p,q}(\|u_0\|_{X_{p,q}(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{L_q((0, T); L_p(\Omega))} + \|g\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times (0, T))}), \quad (2.6)$$

where $C_{p,q}$ is a positive constant depending only on n , Ω , p , q , κ and κ_s .

Second, in addition to Theorem 2.1 with $T = \infty$, exponentially weighted L_p - L_q estimates for time-global solutions to (1.1) can be established as follows:

Theorem 2.2. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with its C^2 -boundary $\partial\Omega$, $\kappa \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfy $\kappa > 0$ on $\overline{\Omega}$, $\kappa_s \in C^1(\partial\Omega)$ satisfy $\kappa_s > 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, $1 < p < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$, $u_0 \in X_{p,q}(\Omega)$. Then there exists a positive constant λ_1^0 depending only on n , Ω , p , q , κ and κ_s such that if $e^{\lambda_1 t} f \in L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))$, $e^{\lambda_1 t} g \in H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ for some $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_1^0$, then (1.1) has uniquely a solution $u \in W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ satisfying*

$$\|e^{\lambda_1 t} u\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C_{p,q,\lambda_1}(\|u_0\|_{X_{p,q}(\Omega)} + \|e^{\lambda_1 t} f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|e^{\lambda_1 t} g\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)}), \quad (2.7)$$

where C_{p,q,λ_1} is a positive constant depending only on n , Ω , p , q , κ , κ_s and λ_1 .

2.4 Lemmas

We will state some lemmas which play an important role throughout this paper. First, the generalized Bochner theorem is stated as follows:

Lemma 2.4. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ be a Banach space, $a = m + \mu - n$, where $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \geq 0$, $n \geq 2$, $0 < \mu \leq 1$. Assume that $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}; X)$ satisfies*

$$\partial^\gamma g \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n; X) \quad (2.8)$$

for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\gamma \geq 0$, $|\gamma| \leq m$,

$$\|\partial_\xi^\gamma g(\xi)\|_X \leq C_\gamma |\xi|^{a-|\gamma|} \quad (2.9)$$

for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\gamma \geq 0$, where C_γ is a positive constant. Then

$$\|\mathcal{F}_\xi^{-1}[g(\xi)](x)\|_X \leq C \left(\max_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \gamma \geq 0, |\gamma| \leq m+2} C_\gamma \right) |x|^{-(m+\mu)} \quad (2.10)$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, where C is a positive constant depending only on m , n and μ .

Proof. It is [11, Theorem 2.3]. □

Second, in order to give the operator-valued Fourier multiplier theorem, we introduce an UMD space and the $\mathcal{R}$ -boundedness. A Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ is called an UMD space if X satisfies the following property (HT) which is equivalent to the UMD property [5, Theorem], [7, Theorem 2]:

(HT) The Hilbert transform

$$Hf(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(s)}{t-s} ds, \quad \mathcal{D}(H) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}; X)$$

is extended to a bounded linear operator $H \in \mathcal{B}(L_q(\mathbb{R}; X))$ for some $1 < q < \infty$.

It is well known in [7, Condition (iii)] that typical examples of UMD spaces are l_p and $L_p(G)$ for any $1 < p < \infty$. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a subset of $\mathcal{B}(X; Y)$. $\mathcal{T}$ is called $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded on $\mathcal{B}(X; Y)$ if $\mathcal{T}$ satisfies the following property ($\mathcal{R}$):

($\mathcal{R}$) There exists a positive constant C such that

$$\int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N r_j(t) T_j x_j \right\|_Y dt \leq C \int_0^1 \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N r_j(t) x_j \right\|_X dt$$

for any $T_j \in \mathcal{T}$, $x_j \in X$ ($j = 1, \dots, N$), $N \in \mathbb{Z}$, $N \geq 1$, where r_j is the Rademacher function defined as $r_j(t) = \text{sign}(\sin(2^j \pi t))$.

In the case where $\mathcal{T}$ is $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded on $\mathcal{B}(X; Y)$, the smallest constant C for which ($\mathcal{R}$) holds is denoted by $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T})$. The operator-valued Fourier multiplier theorem on UMD spaces is stated as follows:

Lemma 2.5. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ and $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ be UMD spaces, $M \in C^1(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}; \mathcal{B}(X; Y))$. Assume that $\{M(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}}$ and $\{td_t M(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}}$ are $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded on $\mathcal{B}(X; Y)$,*

$$\mathcal{R}(\{M(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}}) = C_0,$$

$$\mathcal{R}(\{td_t M(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}}) = C_1.$$

Then $K = \mathcal{F}^{-1} M \mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{D}(K) = C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}; X)$ is extended to a bounded linear operator $K \in \mathcal{B}(L_q(\mathbb{R}; X); L_q(\mathbb{R}; Y))$ for any $1 < q < \infty$,

$$\|K\|_{\mathcal{B}(L_q(\mathbb{R}; X); L_q(\mathbb{R}; Y))} \leq C(C_0 + C_1), \tag{2.11}$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on X , Y and q .

Proof. It is [14, Theorem 3.4]. □

It is very useful on families of convolution operators that we give the following sufficient condition for the $\mathcal{R}$ -boundedness:

Lemma 2.6. Set $\mathcal{M} = \{M(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}}$,

$$(M(t)f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P(x-y, t)f(y)dy, \quad P(\cdot, t) \in L_{1,loc}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \mathcal{D}(M(t)) = L_2(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Assume that there exists a positive constant C_0 such that

$$\|M(t)\|_{\mathcal{B}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C_0 \quad (2.12)$$

for any $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \beta \geq 0, |\beta|=1} |\partial_x^\beta P(x, t)| \leq C_0 |x|^{-(n+1)} \quad (2.13)$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Then $\mathcal{M}$ is $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded on $\mathcal{B}(L_p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ for any $1 < p < \infty$. Moreover, $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}) \leq CC_0$, where C is a positive constant depending only on n and p .

Proof. It is [12, Proposition 2.4]. $\square$

Third, some interpolation inequalities in Bessel-potential spaces are stated as follows:

Lemma 2.7. Let G be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $1 < p < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$, $0 < s < 1$, $0 < r \leq 1$. Then

$$\|u\|_{H_q^s(\mathbb{R}; L_p(G))} \leq C(r^{1-s} \|\partial_t u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(G))} + r^{-s} \|u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(G))}), \quad (2.14)$$

$$\|u\|_{H_q^s(\mathbb{R}; L_p(G))} \leq C \|u\|_{H_q^1(\mathbb{R}; L_p(G))}^s \|u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(G))}^{1-s} \quad (2.15)$$

for any $u \in H_q^1(\mathbb{R}; L_p(G))$, where C is a positive constant depending only on G , q and s .

Proof. It is [12, Proposition 2.6]. $\square$

Lemma 2.8. Let $1 < p < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$. Then

$$\|u\|_{H_q^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}; W_p^1(\Omega))} \leq C \|u\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})} \quad (2.16)$$

for any $u \in W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$, where C is a positive constant depending only on n , Ω , p and q .

Proof. It is [12, Proposition 2.8]. $\square$

Finally, we state some lemmas which is essential for L_p - L_q estimates for time-global solutions to (1.1) in $\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}$ with a positive constant κ . Let us introduce subsets with respect to $\xi' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, $x_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ as follows:

$$G_{(\xi', \lambda)} = \{(\xi', \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{C} ; \xi' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \setminus \{0\}, \lambda = \lambda_1 + \sqrt{-1}\lambda_2, \lambda_1 \geq 0, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}\},$$

$$G_{(\xi', x_n, \lambda)} = \{(\xi', x_n, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C} ; (\xi', \lambda) \in G_{(\xi', \lambda)}, x_n \geq 0\}.$$

$A(\xi', \lambda)$ is one of characteristic roots for $\kappa|\xi'|^2 + \lambda + 1 - \kappa A^2 = 0$ defined as

$$A(\xi', \lambda) = (|\xi'|^2 + \kappa^{-1}(\lambda + 1))^{1/2}.$$

It can be easily seen that

$$\operatorname{Re} A(\xi', \lambda) \geq c(|\xi'| + |\lambda|^{1/2} + 1)$$

for any $(\xi', \lambda) \in G_{(\xi', \lambda)}$, where c is a positive constant depending only on κ .

Lemma 2.9.

$$|\partial_{\xi'}^{\alpha'} A(\xi', \lambda)^s| \leq C(|\xi'| + |\lambda|^{1/2} + 1)^{s-|\alpha'|}, \quad C = C(s, \alpha'), \quad (2.17)$$

$$|\partial_{\xi'}^{\alpha'} |\xi'|^s| \leq C|\xi'|^{s-|\alpha'|}, \quad C = C(s, \alpha'), \quad (2.18)$$

$$|\partial_{\xi'}^{\alpha'} e^{-A(\xi', \lambda)x_n}| \leq C(|\xi'| + |\lambda|^{1/2} + 1)^{-|\alpha'|} e^{-d(|\xi'| + |\lambda|^{1/2} + 1)x_n}, \quad C = C(\alpha') \quad (2.19)$$

for any $(\xi', x_n, \lambda) \in G_{(\xi', x_n, \lambda)}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha' \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$, $\alpha' \geq 0$, where C is a positive constant depending only on κ , s and α' , d is a positive constant depending only on κ .

Proof. It is [12, Lemma 5.4]. $\square$

Lemma 2.10. Let $1 < p < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$, M_1 be a function defined on $G_{(\xi', \lambda)}$. Assume that there exist constants $C > 0$ and $\beta \leq 1$ such that

$$|\partial_{\xi'}^{\alpha'} (\lambda_2^l \partial_{\lambda_2}^l M_1(\xi', \lambda))| \leq C(|\xi'| + |\lambda|^{1/2} + 1)^{-|\alpha'|} (|\lambda| + 1)^\beta, \quad C = C(\alpha') \quad (2.20)$$

for any $(\xi', \lambda) \in G_{(\xi', \lambda)}$, $l = 0, 1$, $\alpha' \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$, $\alpha' \geq 0$. Let $\hat{f}$ be a given function defined on $G_{(\xi', x_n, \lambda)}$,

$$w_1 = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{L}_{(\xi', \lambda_2)}^{-1} [A(\xi', \lambda)^{-1} e^{-A(\xi', \lambda)(x_n + y_n)} M_1(\xi', \lambda) \hat{f}(\xi', y_n, \lambda)](x', t) dy_n,$$

$$f(x, t) = \mathcal{L}_{(\xi', \lambda_2)}^{-1} [\hat{f}(\xi', x_n, \lambda)](x', t).$$

Then

$$\|e^{-\lambda_1 t} w_1\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \leq C \|e^{-\lambda_1 t} f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \quad (2.21)$$

for any $\lambda_1 \geq 0$, where C is a positive constant depending only on n , p , q and κ .

Proof. It is [12, Lemma 5.5]. $\square$

Lemma 2.11. Let $1 < p < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$, M_2 be a function defined on $G_{(\xi', \lambda)}$. Assume that there exists a positive constant C such that

$$|\partial_{\xi'}^{\alpha'} (\lambda_2^l \partial_{\lambda_2}^l M_2(\xi', \lambda))| \leq C(|\xi'| + |\lambda|^{1/2} + 1)^{-|\alpha'|}, \quad C = C(\alpha') \quad (2.22)$$

for any $(\xi', \lambda) \in G_{(\xi', \lambda)}$, $l = 0, 1$, $\alpha' \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$, $\alpha' \geq 0$. Let $\hat{g}$ be a given function defined on $G_{(\xi', x_n, \lambda)}$,

$$w_2 = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{L}_{(\xi', \lambda_2)}^{-1} [A(\xi', \lambda) e^{-A(\xi', \lambda)(x_n + y_n)} M_2(\xi', \lambda) \hat{g}(\xi', y_n, \lambda)](x', t) dy_n,$$

$$g(x, t) = \mathcal{L}_{(\xi', \lambda_2)}^{-1} [\hat{g}(\xi', x_n, \lambda)](x', t).$$

Then

$$\|e^{-\lambda_1 t} w_2\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \leq C \|e^{-\lambda_1 t} g\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \quad (2.23)$$

for any $\lambda_1 \geq 0$, where C is a positive constant depending only on n , p , q and κ .

Proof. It is [12, Lemmas 5.5 and 5.6]. $\square$

3 Global L_p - L_q estimates for solutions to (1.1)

3.1 L_p estimates for steady solutions to (1.1)

We discuss the following boundary value problem in Ω :

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda u - \operatorname{div}(\kappa \nabla u) &= f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \kappa \partial_\nu u + \kappa_s u|_{\partial\Omega} &= g & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

for any $\lambda \in S_\phi \cup \{0\}$, where

$$S_\phi = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} ; |\arg \lambda| \leq \pi - \phi\}, \quad 0 < \phi < \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

It is essential for our main results that L_p estimates for steady solutions to (1.1) are established as follows:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\kappa \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfy $\kappa > 0$ on $\overline{\Omega}$, $\kappa_s \in C^1(\partial\Omega)$ satisfy $\kappa_s > 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, $1 < p < \infty$, $0 < \phi < \pi/2$, $f \in L_p(\Omega)$, $g \in W_p^1(\Omega)$. Then (3.1) has uniquely a solution $u \in W_p^2(\Omega)$ satisfying*

$$|\lambda| \|u\|_{L_p(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{W_p^2(\Omega)} \leq C_p (\|f\|_{L_p(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{W_p^1(\Omega)}) \quad (3.2)$$

for any $\lambda \in S_\phi \cup \{0\}$, where C_p is a positive constant depending only on n , Ω , p , ϕ , κ and κ_s .

Proof. Set

$$(u, v)_\Omega = \int_\Omega u(x) v(x) dx,$$

and let p^* be the dual exponent to p defined as $1/p + 1/p^* = 1$. Then it follows from $1 < p < \infty$ that $u|u|^{p-2} \in L_{p^*}(\Omega)$ for any $u \in L_p(\Omega)$ and that $(u, u|u|^{p-2})_\Omega = \|u\|_{L_p(\Omega)}^p$. Let $2 \leq p < \infty$. Then integration by parts and $\lambda \in S_\phi \cup \{0\}$ yield that

$$\begin{aligned} |(\lambda u + A_p u, u|u|^{p-2})_\Omega| &\geq c \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla u(x)|^2 |u(x)|^{p-2} dx + \|u\|_{L_p(\partial\Omega)}^p \right), \\ c \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla u(x)|^2 |u(x)|^{p-2} dx + \|u\|_{L_p(\partial\Omega)}^p \right) &\leq \|(\lambda I_p + A_p)u\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \|u\|_{L_p(\Omega)}^{p-1} \end{aligned}$$

for any $u \in \mathcal{D}(A_p)$, where c is a positive constant depending only on p , ϕ , κ and κ_s and that $(\lambda I_p + A_p)u = 0$ implies $\nabla u = 0$, $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$, that is, $u = 0$. Therefore, $\lambda I_p + A_p$ is injective. In the case where $1 < p < 2$, it can be easily seen from the duality argument that $\lambda I_p + A_p$ is also injective. By applying [2, Theorem 15.2] to the following boundary value problem in Ω :

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div}(\kappa \nabla u) &= f - \lambda u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \kappa \partial_\nu u + \kappa_s u|_{\partial\Omega} &= g & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

it is obtained from a basic property of A_p and the compactness-uniqueness argument that (3.2) is established. Moreover, the adjoint problem [6, Corollary to Theorem 5] to the uniqueness of (3.1) admits that (3.1) has uniquely a solution $u \in W_p^2(\Omega)$ for any $1 < p < \infty$. $\square$

3.2 L_p - L_q estimates in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$ with $f = 0$, $g = 0$

We consider (1.1) with $f = 0$, $g = 0$, that is, the following initial-boundary value problem in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u - \operatorname{div}(\kappa \nabla u) &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ u|_{t=0} &= u_0 && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \kappa \partial_\nu u + \kappa_s u|_{\partial\Omega} &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

The theory of analytic semigroups on L_p admits that L_p - L_q estimates for time-global solutions to (3.3) are established as follows:

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\kappa \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfy $\kappa > 0$ on $\overline{\Omega}$, $\kappa_s \in C^1(\partial\Omega)$ satisfy $\kappa_s > 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, $1 < p < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$, $0 < \lambda_1 < \Lambda_1$, $u_0 \in X_{p,q}(\Omega)$. Then (3.3) has uniquely a solution $u \in W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ satisfying*

$$u(t) = e^{-tA_p} u_0,$$

$$\|e^{(\lambda_1/2)t} u\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C_{p,q,\lambda_1} \|u_0\|_{X_{p,q}(\Omega)}, \quad (3.4)$$

where C_{p,q,λ_1} is a positive constant depending only on n , Ω , p , q , κ , κ_s and λ_1 .

Proof. It is sufficient for Theorem 3.2 to be proved that $u(t) = e^{-tA_p} u_0$ satisfies (3.4). We can easily see from (2.3) with $\alpha = 0$ that

$$e^{(\lambda_1/2)t} \|u(t)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \leq C e^{-(\lambda_1/2)t} \|u_0\|_{L_p(\Omega)},$$

$$\|e^{(\lambda_1/2)t} u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} \leq C \|u_0\|_{L_p(\Omega)}, \quad (3.5)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n , Ω , p , q , κ , κ_s and λ_1 . In order to obtain L_p - L_q estimates for $e^{(\lambda_1/2)t} \partial_t u$, we calculate as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty e^{(q\lambda_1 t)/2} \|\partial_t u(t)\|_{L_p(\Omega)}^q dt &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{2^j}^{2^{j+1}} e^{(q\lambda_1 t)/2} \|\partial_t u(t)\|_{L_p(\Omega)}^q dt \\ &\leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{q\lambda_1 2^j} (2^{j+1} - 2^j) a_j(u_0)^q \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(2^{j/q} e^{\lambda_1 2^j} a_j(u_0) \right)^q, \\ \|e^{(\lambda_1/2)t} \partial_t u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} &\leq \|(e^{\lambda_1 2^j} a_j(u_0))_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{l_q^1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$a_j(u_0) = \max_{2^j \leq t \leq 2^{j+1}} \|\partial_t u(t)\|_{L_p(\Omega)}.$$

Since

$$\|\partial_t u(t)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \leq C e^{-\lambda_1 t} \|u_0\|_{X_p^1(\Omega)}$$

for any $t > 0$, where C is a positive constant depending only on $n, \Omega, p, \kappa, \kappa_s$ and λ_1 , which follows from (2.3) with $\alpha = 0$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(e^{\lambda_1 2^j} a_j(u_0))_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{l_\infty^0} &= \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\lambda_1 2^j} \max_{2^j \leq t \leq 2^{j+1}} \|\partial_t u(t)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(e^{\lambda_1 2^j} \max_{2^j \leq t \leq 2^{j+1}} C e^{-\lambda_1 t} \right) \|u_0\|_{X_p^1(\Omega)} \\ &= C \|u_0\|_{X_p^1(\Omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on $n, \Omega, p, \kappa, \kappa_s$ and λ_1 . Similarly to the above estimate, since

$$\|\partial_t u(t)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \leq C t^{-1} e^{-\lambda_1 t} \|u_0\|_{L_p(\Omega)}$$

for any $t > 0$, where C is a positive constant depending only on $n, \Omega, p, \kappa, \kappa_s$ and λ_1 , which is clear from (2.3) with $\alpha = 1$, we have the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \|(e^{\lambda_1 2^j} a_j(u_0))_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{l_\infty^1} &= \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^j e^{\lambda_1 2^j} \max_{2^j \leq t \leq 2^{j+1}} \|\partial_t u(t)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \sup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(2^j e^{\lambda_1 2^j} \max_{2^j \leq t \leq 2^{j+1}} C t^{-1} e^{-\lambda_1 t} \right) \|u_0\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \\ &= C \|u_0\|_{L_p(\Omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on $n, \Omega, p, \kappa, \kappa_s$ and λ_1 . Therefore, we can conclude from $l_q^s = (l_\infty^1, l_\infty^0)_{s,q}$ for any $0 < s < 1$ that

$$\|(e^{\lambda_1 2^j} a_j(u_0))_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}\|_{l_q^s} \leq C \|u_0\|_{(X_p^1(\Omega), L_p(\Omega))_{s,q}} \quad (3.7)$$

for any $0 < s < 1$, where C is a positive constant depending only on $n, \Omega, p, q, s, \kappa, \kappa_s$ and λ_1 . By $(X_p^1(\Omega), L_p(\Omega))_{s,q} = (L_p(\Omega), X_p^1(\Omega))_{1-s,q}$ and letting $s = 1/q$, it is obvious from (3.6), (3.7) that

$$\|e^{(\lambda_1/2)t} \partial_t u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} \leq C \|u_0\|_{X_{p,q}(\Omega)}, \quad (3.8)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on $n, \Omega, p, q, \kappa, \kappa_s$ and λ_1 . Moreover, as for $\|e^{(\lambda_1/2)t} u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^2(\Omega))}$, it is derived from Theorem 3.1 with $f = -\partial_t u, g = 0$ that

$$\|u(t)\|_{W_p^2(\Omega)} \leq C \|\partial_t u(t)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} \quad (3.9)$$

for any $t > 0$, where C is a positive constant depending only on n, Ω, p, κ and κ_s . We can easily see from (3.8), (3.9) that

$$\|e^{(\lambda_1/2)t} u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^2(\Omega))} \leq C \|u_0\|_{X_{p,q}(\Omega)}, \quad (3.10)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on $n, \Omega, p, q, \kappa, \kappa_s$ and λ_1 . Therefore, (3.5), (3.8), (3.10) clearly lead to (3.4). $\square$

3.3 L_p - L_q estimates in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and in $\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}$ with constant coefficients

In the case where κ is a positive constant, first of all, we discuss the following problem in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$:

$$\partial_t u - \kappa \Delta u + u = f \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.11)$$

We can utilize the operator-valued Fourier multiplier theorem on L_p to obtain the following lemma:

Lemma 3.1. *Let κ be a positive constant, $1 < p < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$, $f \in L_{q,0}(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\mathbb{R}^n))$. Then (3.11) has uniquely a solution $u \in W_{p,q,0}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ satisfying*

$$u(x, t) = \mathcal{L}_{(\xi, \lambda_2)}^{-1} \left[\frac{\mathcal{L}_{(x,t)}[f(x, t)](\xi, \lambda)}{\lambda + \kappa|\xi|^2 + 1} \right] (x, t), \quad (3.12)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^2 \lambda_1^{k/2} \|e^{-\lambda_1 t} u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; W_p^{2-k}(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \sum_{k=1}^2 \|e^{-\lambda_1 t} u\|_{H_q^{k/2}(\mathbb{R}; W_p^{2-k}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \|e^{-\lambda_1 t} f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}^n))} \quad (3.13)$$

for any $\lambda_1 \geq 0$, where C is a positive constant depending only on n , p , q and κ .

Proof. Since $C_0^\infty(G \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ is dense in $L_{q,0}(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(G))$ for any open set G in $\mathbb{R}^n$, we can assume that $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+)$. By applying the Fourier-Laplace transform with respect to $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ to (3.11), it follows that

$$(\lambda + \kappa|\xi|^2 + 1)\mathcal{L}_{(x,t)}[u] = \mathcal{L}_{(x,t)}[f] \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R},$$

where $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda = \lambda_1 + \sqrt{-1}\lambda_2$, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, u can be defined as in (3.12). It is derived from (2.1), (2.2), (3.12) that we have the following formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\lambda_1}^{k,\alpha}(x, \lambda_2) &:= \mathcal{F}_\xi^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda_2^{k/2} (\sqrt{-1}\xi)^\alpha}{\lambda + \kappa|\xi|^2 + 1} \right] (x, \lambda_2), \\ Q_{\lambda_1}^{k,\alpha}(x, \lambda_2) &:= \mathcal{F}_\xi^{-1} \left[\frac{(1 + \lambda_2^2)^{k/4} (\sqrt{-1}\xi)^\alpha}{\lambda + \kappa|\xi|^2 + 1} \right] (x, \lambda_2), \\ (M_{\lambda_1}^{k,\alpha}(\lambda_2)f)(x, \lambda_2) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} P_{\lambda_1}^{k,\alpha}(x - y, \lambda_2) f(y) dy, \\ (N_{\lambda_1}^{k,\alpha}(\lambda_2)f)(x, \lambda_2) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Q_{\lambda_1}^{k,\alpha}(x - y, \lambda_2) f(y) dy, \\ \lambda_1^{k/2} \partial_x^\alpha (e^{-\lambda_1 t} u)(x, t) &= \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_2}^{-1} [(M_{\lambda_1}^{k,\alpha}(\lambda_2) \mathcal{F}_t[e^{-\lambda_1 t} f(x, t)])(x, \lambda_2)](x, t), \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

$$\langle \partial_t \rangle^{k/2} \partial_x^\alpha (e^{-\lambda_1 t} u)(x, t) = \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_2}^{-1} [(N_{\lambda_1}^{k,\alpha}(\lambda_2) \mathcal{F}_t[e^{-\lambda_1 t} f(x, t)])(x, \lambda_2)](x, t) \quad (3.15)$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \geq 0$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $k + |\alpha| \leq 2$. Set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1,0}^{k,\alpha} &= \{M_{\lambda_1}^{k,\alpha}(\lambda_2)\}_{\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}}, \\ \mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1,1}^{k,\alpha} &= \{\lambda_2 \partial_{\lambda_2} M_{\lambda_1}^{k,\alpha}(\lambda_2)\}_{\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}}, \\ K_{\lambda_1}^{k,\alpha} &= \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_2}^{-1} M_{\lambda_1}^{k,\alpha} \mathcal{F}_t, \quad \mathcal{D}(K_{\lambda_1}^{k,\alpha}) = C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}; L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)), \\ \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_1,0}^{k,\alpha} &= \{N_{\lambda_1}^{k,\alpha}(\lambda_2)\}_{\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}}, \\ \mathcal{N}_{\lambda_1,1}^{k,\alpha} &= \{\lambda_2 \partial_{\lambda_2} N_{\lambda_1}^{k,\alpha}(\lambda_2)\}_{\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}}, \\ L_{\lambda_1}^{k,\alpha} &= \mathcal{F}_{\lambda_2}^{-1} N_{\lambda_1}^{k,\alpha} \mathcal{F}_t, \quad \mathcal{D}(L_{\lambda_1}^{k,\alpha}) = C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}; L_2(\mathbb{R}^n)).\end{aligned}$$

We can easily see that

$$|\lambda + \kappa|\xi|^2 + 1| \geq c(|\lambda| + |\xi|^2 + 1) \quad (3.16)$$

for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda_1 \geq 0$, where c is a positive constant depending only on κ . By applying the Plancherel theorem to $P_{\lambda_1}^{k,\alpha}$, it follows from (3.16) that

$$\|M_{\lambda_1}^{k,\alpha}(\lambda_2)\|_{\mathcal{B}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \quad (3.17)$$

for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda_1 \geq 0$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \geq 0$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $k + |\alpha| \leq 2$, where C is a positive constant depending only on κ . We also obtain from (3.16) that

$$\left| \partial_\xi^\gamma \left(\frac{\lambda_2^{k/2} (\sqrt{-1}\xi)^\alpha}{\lambda + \kappa|\xi|^2 + 1} (\sqrt{-1}\xi)^\beta \right) \right| \leq C |\xi|^{|\beta| - |\gamma|} \quad (3.18)$$

for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda_1 \geq 0$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \geq 0$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $k + |\alpha| \leq 2$, $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\beta \geq 0$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\gamma \geq 0$, where C is a positive constant depending only on κ , β and γ . It is derived from (3.18) and Lemma 2.4 with $m = n$, $\mu = 1$, $g(\xi) = \mathcal{F}_x[P_{\lambda_1}^{k,\alpha}(x, \lambda_2)](\xi)(\sqrt{-1}\xi)^\beta$ that

$$\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \beta \geq 0, |\beta|=1} |\partial_x^\beta P_{\lambda_1}^{k,\alpha}(x, \lambda_2)| \leq C |x|^{-(n+1)} \quad (3.19)$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $\lambda_1 \geq 0$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \geq 0$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $k + |\alpha| \leq 2$, where C is a positive constant depending only on n and κ . Therefore, from (3.17), (3.19) and Lemma 2.6, $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1,0}^{k,\alpha}$ is $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded on $\mathcal{B}(L_p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ for any $\lambda_1 \geq 0$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \geq 0$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $k + |\alpha| \leq 2$, $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1,0}^{k,\alpha}) \leq C_0$, where C_0 is a positive constant depending only on n , p and κ . Similarly to $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1,0}^{k,\alpha}$, we can conclude from Lemma 2.6 that $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1,1}^{k,\alpha}$ is also $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded on $\mathcal{B}(L_p(\mathbb{R}^n))$ for any $\lambda_1 \geq 0$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \geq 0$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $k + |\alpha| \leq 2$, $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_1,1}^{k,\alpha}) \leq C_1$, where C_1 is a positive constant depending only on n , p and κ . It follows from Lemma 2.5 that $K_{\lambda_1}^{k,\alpha}$ is extended to a bounded linear operator $K_{\lambda_1}^{k,\alpha} \in \mathcal{B}(L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)))$ for any $\lambda_1 \geq 0$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \geq 0$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $k + |\alpha| \leq 2$, $\|K_{\lambda_1}^{k,\alpha}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)))} \leq C$, where C is a positive constant depending only on n , p , q and κ . The same argument as above shows that $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_1,0}^{k,\alpha}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\lambda_1,1}^{k,\alpha}$ are $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded on $\mathcal{B}(L_p(\mathbb{R}^n))$, therefore, $L_{\lambda_1}^{k,\alpha}$ is extended to a bounded linear

operator $L_{\lambda_1}^{k,\alpha} \in \mathcal{B}(L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)))$ for any $\lambda_1 \geq 0$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \geq 0$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $k + |\alpha| \leq 2$, $\|L_{\lambda_1}^{k,\alpha}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)))} \leq C$, where C is a positive constant depending only on n , p , q and κ . It follows from (3.14), (3.15), $K_{\lambda_1}^{k,\alpha} \in \mathcal{B}(L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)))$, $L_{\lambda_1}^{k,\alpha} \in \mathcal{B}(L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)))$ that

$$\lambda_1^{k/2} \|\partial_x^\alpha (e^{-\lambda_1 t} u)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \|e^{-\lambda_1 t} f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}^n))}, \quad (3.20)$$

$$\| \langle \partial_t \rangle^{k/2} \partial_x^\alpha (e^{-\lambda_1 t} u)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \|e^{-\lambda_1 t} f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}^n))} \quad (3.21)$$

for any $\lambda_1 \geq 0$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \geq 0$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $k + |\alpha| \leq 2$, where C is a positive constant depending only on n , p , q and κ . It is clear from (3.20), (3.31) that (3.13) is established. We remark that $e^{-\lambda_1 t} \geq 1$ for any $\lambda_1 \geq 0$, $t > 0$ and that $f(t) = 0$ for any $t < 0$, and obtain from (3.13) that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 \|u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_-; L_p(\mathbb{R}^n))} &\leq \lambda_1 \|e^{-\lambda_1 t} u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_-; L_p(\mathbb{R}^n))} \\ &\leq C \|e^{-\lambda_1 t} f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}^n))} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}^n))}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\|u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_-; L_p(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \lambda_1^{-1} \|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}^n))} \quad (3.22)$$

for any $\lambda_1 > 0$, where C is a positive constant depending only on n , p , q and κ . It is obvious from (3.22) with $\lambda_1 \rightarrow \infty$ that $u(t) = 0$ for any $t < 0$, therefore, $u \in W_{p,q,0}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+)$. $\square$

Second, we consider the following problem in $\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u - \kappa \Delta u + u &= f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}, \\ \kappa \partial_{x_n} u|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} &= h \quad \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Lemmas 2.6–2.8 and 3.1 admit that L_p - L_q estimates for time-global solutions to (3.23) are established as follows:

Lemma 3.2. *Let κ be a positive constant, $1 < p < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$, $f \in L_{q,0}(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))$, $h \in H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+)$. Then (3.23) has uniquely a solution $u \in W_{p,q,0}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda_1 \|e^{-\lambda_1 t} u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} + \|e^{-\lambda_1 t} u\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq C (\|e^{-\lambda_1 t} f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} + \lambda_1^{1/2} \|e^{-\lambda_1 t} h\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} + \|e^{-\lambda_1 t} h\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R})}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

for any $\lambda_1 \geq 0$, where C is a positive constant depending only on n , p , q and κ .

Proof. Let φ_o be the odd extension of φ to $\mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$\varphi_o(x, t) = \begin{cases} \varphi(x, t) & \text{if } x_n > 0, \\ -\varphi(x', -x_n, t) & \text{if } x_n < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then it follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\partial_t v - \kappa \Delta v + v = f_o \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$$

has uniquely a solution $v \in W_{p,q,0}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ satisfying

$$v(x, t) = \mathcal{L}_{(\xi, \lambda_2)}^{-1} \left[\frac{\mathcal{L}_{(x,t)}[f_o(x, t)](\xi, \lambda)}{\lambda + \kappa|\xi|^2 + 1} \right] (x, t), \quad (3.25)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^2 \lambda_1^{k/2} \|e^{-\lambda_1 t} v\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; W_p^{2-k}(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \sum_{k=1}^2 \|e^{-\lambda_1 t} v\|_{H_q^{k/2}(\mathbb{R}; W_p^{2-k}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \|e^{-\lambda_1 t} f_o\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}^n))} \quad (3.26)$$

for any $\lambda_1 \geq 0$, where C is a positive constant depending only on n, p, q and κ . Moreover, it is derived from (3.25) that $\partial_{x_n} v(x', 0, t) = 0$ for any $(x', t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$. By letting $u = v + w$, it is clear from $\partial_{x_n} v|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} = 0$ that w must satisfy the following problem in $\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t w - \kappa \Delta w + w &= 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}, \\ \kappa \partial_{x_n} w|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} &= h & \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

Therefore, it is essential for Lemma 3.2 to be proved that (3.27) has uniquely a solution $w \in W_{p,q,0}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 \|e^{-\lambda_1 t} w\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} + \|e^{-\lambda_1 t} w\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R})} \\ \leq C(\lambda_1^{1/2} \|e^{-\lambda_1 t} h\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} + \|e^{-\lambda_1 t} h\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R})}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

for any $\lambda_1 \geq 0$, where C is a positive constant depending only on n, p, q and κ .

We can utilize the Fourier-Laplace transform with respect to $(x', t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ to rewrite (3.27) by the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} (\kappa|\xi'|^2 + \lambda + 1) \mathcal{L}_{(x',t)}[w] - \kappa \partial_{x_n}^2 \mathcal{L}_{(x',t)}[w] &= 0 & \text{in } \{x_n > 0\}, \\ \kappa \partial_{x_n} \mathcal{L}_{(x',t)}[w]|_{x_n=0} &= \mathcal{L}_{(x',t)}[h] & \text{on } \{x_n = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

The inverse Fourier-Laplace transform with respect to $(\xi', \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ admits that w is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} w(x, t) &= -\mathcal{L}_{(\xi', \lambda_2)}^{-1} [\kappa^{-1} A(\xi', \lambda)^{-1} \hat{h}(\xi', 0, \lambda) e^{-A(\xi', \lambda)x_n}] (x', t), \\ \hat{h}(\xi', x_n, \lambda) &= \mathcal{L}_{(x', t)}[h(x, t)](\xi', x_n, \lambda). \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

It is clear from (3.29) that we have the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} w(x, t) &= - \int_0^\infty \partial_{y_n} \mathcal{L}_{(\xi', \lambda_2)}^{-1} [\kappa^{-1} A(\xi', \lambda)^{-1} \hat{h}(\xi', y_n, \lambda) e^{-A(\xi', \lambda)(x_n + y_n)}] (x', t) dy_n \\ &= \int_0^\infty \mathcal{L}_{(\xi', \lambda_2)}^{-1} [\kappa^{-1} A(\xi', \lambda)^{-1} e^{-A(\xi', \lambda)(x_n + y_n)} (A(\xi', \lambda) - \partial_{y_n}) \hat{h}(\xi', y_n, \lambda)] (x', t) dy_n. \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

Let 1 be decomposed into

$$1 = \frac{A(\xi', \lambda)^2}{A(\xi', \lambda)^2} = \frac{1}{A(\xi', \lambda)} \left\{ \frac{\kappa^{-1}(\lambda + 1)}{A(\xi', \lambda)} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{(\sqrt{-1}\xi_i)^2}{A(\xi', \lambda)} \right\}.$$

Then it follows from (3.30) that

$$\begin{aligned} w(x, t) &= \int_0^\infty \mathcal{L}_{(\xi', \lambda_2)}^{-1} [\kappa^{-1} A(\xi', \lambda)^{-1} e^{-A(\xi', \lambda)(x_n + y_n)} A(\xi', \lambda)^{-1} \kappa^{-1} (\lambda + 1) \hat{h}(\xi', y_n, \lambda)](x', t) dy_n \\ &\quad - \int_0^\infty \mathcal{L}_{(\xi', \lambda_2)}^{-1} \left[\kappa^{-1} A(\xi', \lambda)^{-1} e^{-A(\xi', \lambda)(x_n + y_n)} A(\xi', \lambda)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\sqrt{-1}\xi_i)^2 \hat{h}(\xi', y_n, \lambda) \right] (x', t) dy_n \\ &\quad - \int_0^\infty \mathcal{L}_{(\xi', \lambda_2)}^{-1} [\kappa^{-1} A(\xi', \lambda)^{-1} e^{-A(\xi', \lambda)(x_n + y_n)} \partial_{y_n} \hat{h}(\xi', y_n, \lambda)](x', t) dy_n. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we can utilize the identity $\lambda + 1 = \lambda_1 + (1 + \sqrt{-1}\lambda_2)$ ($\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$) to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} w(x, t) &= \int_0^\infty \mathcal{L}_{(\xi', \lambda_2)}^{-1} [A(\xi', \lambda)^{-1} e^{-A(\xi', \lambda)(x_n + y_n)} \lambda_1^{1/2} A(\xi', \lambda)^{-1} \kappa^{-2} \lambda_1^{1/2} \hat{h}(\xi', y_n, \lambda)](x', t) dy_n \\ &\quad + \int_0^\infty \mathcal{L}_{(\xi', \lambda_2)}^{-1} [A(\xi', \lambda)^{-1} e^{-A(\xi', \lambda)(x_n + y_n)} (1 + \sqrt{-1}\lambda_2)(1 + \lambda_2^2)^{-1/4} A(\xi', \lambda)^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \kappa^{-2} (1 + \lambda_2^2)^{1/4} \hat{h}(\xi', y_n, \lambda)](x', t) dy_n \\ &\quad - \int_0^\infty \mathcal{L}_{(\xi', \lambda_2)}^{-1} \left[A(\xi', \lambda)^{-1} e^{-A(\xi', \lambda)(x_n + y_n)} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\sqrt{-1}\xi_i) A(\xi', \lambda)^{-1} \kappa^{-1} (\sqrt{-1}\xi_i) \hat{h}(\xi', y_n, \lambda) \right] (x', t) dy_n \\ &\quad - \int_0^\infty \mathcal{L}_{(\xi', \lambda_2)}^{-1} [A(\xi', \lambda)^{-1} e^{-A(\xi', \lambda)(x_n + y_n)} \kappa^{-1} \partial_{y_n} \hat{h}(\xi', y_n, \lambda)](x', t) dy_n. \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

Since it is clear from the inverse Fourier-Laplace transform with respect to $(\xi', \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{(\xi', \lambda_2)}^{-1} [\kappa^{-2} \lambda_1^{1/2} \hat{h}(\xi', x_n, \lambda)](x', t) &= \kappa^{-2} \lambda_1^{1/2} h(x, t), \\ \mathcal{L}_{(\xi', \lambda_2)}^{-1} [\kappa^{-2} (1 + \lambda_2^2)^{1/4} \hat{h}(\xi', x_n, \lambda)](x', t) &= \kappa^{-2} e^{\lambda_1 t} \langle \partial_t \rangle^{1/2} (e^{-\lambda_1 t} h)(x, t), \\ \mathcal{L}_{(\xi', \lambda_2)}^{-1} \left[\kappa^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\sqrt{-1}\xi_i) \hat{h}(\xi', x_n, \lambda) \right] (x', t) &= \kappa^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \partial_{x_i} h(x, t), \\ \mathcal{L}_{(\xi', \lambda_2)}^{-1} [\kappa^{-1} \partial_{x_n} \hat{h}(\xi', x_n, \lambda)](x', t) &= \kappa^{-1} \partial_{x_n} h(x, t), \end{aligned}$$

we can apply Lemma 2.10 to w and $\partial_t w$ by letting

$$M_1(\xi', \lambda) = \begin{cases} (\lambda_1 + 1)\lambda_1^{1/2}A(\xi', \lambda)^{-1}, \\ (\lambda_1 + 1)(1 + \sqrt{-1}\lambda_2)(1 + \lambda_2^2)^{-1/4}A(\xi', \lambda)^{-1}, \\ (\lambda_1 + 1)\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(\sqrt{-1}\xi_i)A(\xi', \lambda)^{-1}, \\ \lambda_1 + 1 & \text{if } w_1(x, t) = (\lambda_1 + 1)w(x, t), \\ \sqrt{-1}\lambda_2\lambda_1^{1/2}A(\xi', \lambda)^{-1}, \\ \sqrt{-1}\lambda_2(1 + \sqrt{-1}\lambda_2)(1 + \lambda_2^2)^{-1/4}A(\xi', \lambda)^{-1}, \\ \sqrt{-1}\lambda_2\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(\sqrt{-1}\xi_i)A(\xi', \lambda)^{-1}, \\ \sqrt{-1}\lambda_2 & \text{if } w_1(x, t) = e^{\lambda_1 t}\partial_t(e^{-\lambda_1 t}w(x, t)). \end{cases}$$

Then we notice the identity $e^{-\lambda_1 t}\partial_t w = \lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_1 t}w + \partial_t(e^{-\lambda_1 t}w)$, and obtain from (3.31) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_1 \|e^{-\lambda_1 t}w\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} + \|e^{-\lambda_1 t}w\|_{W_q^1(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \\ & \leq C(\lambda_1^{1/2}\|e^{-\lambda_1 t}h\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} + \|\partial_t\|^{1/2}(e^{-\lambda_1 t}h)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} + \|\nabla(e^{-\lambda_1 t}h)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

for any $\lambda_1 \geq 0$, where C is a positive constant depending only on n, p, q and κ . As for $\|e^{-\lambda_1 t}w\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; W_p^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n))}$, first, it can be easily seen from (3.30) that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x'}^{\alpha'} \partial_{x_i} w(x, t) &= \int_0^\infty \mathcal{L}_{(\xi', \lambda_2)}^{-1} [A(\xi', \lambda) e^{-A(\xi', \lambda)(x_n + y_n)} (\sqrt{-1}\xi_i) A(\xi', \lambda)^{-1} \kappa^{-1} (\sqrt{-1}\xi')^{\alpha'} \hat{h}(\xi', y_n, \lambda)](x', t) dy_n \\ &\quad - \int_0^\infty \mathcal{L}_{(\xi', \lambda_2)}^{-1} [A(\xi', \lambda) e^{-A(\xi', \lambda)(x_n + y_n)} (\sqrt{-1}\xi')^{\alpha'} (\sqrt{-1}\xi_i) A(\xi', \lambda)^{-2} \\ &\quad \times \kappa^{-1} \partial_{y_n} \hat{h}(\xi', y_n, \lambda)](x', t) dy_n \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

for any $\alpha' \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$, $\alpha' \geq 0$, $|\alpha'| \leq 1$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Since it is clear from the inverse Fourier-Laplace transform with respect to $(\xi', \lambda_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ that

$$\mathcal{L}_{(\xi', \lambda_2)}^{-1} [\kappa^{-1} (\sqrt{-1}\xi)^{\alpha'} \hat{h}(\xi', x_n, \lambda)](x', t) = \kappa^{-1} \partial_{x'}^{\alpha'} h(x, t),$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{(\xi', \lambda_2)}^{-1} [\kappa^{-1} \partial_{x_n} \hat{h}(\xi', x_n, \lambda)](x', t) = \kappa^{-1} \partial_{x_n} h(x, t),$$

we can apply Lemma 2.11 to $\partial_{x'}^{\alpha'} \partial_{x_i} w$ by letting

$$M_2(\xi', \lambda) = \begin{cases} (\sqrt{-1}\xi_i)A(\xi', \lambda)^{-1} & \text{if } \hat{g}(\xi', x_n, \lambda) = (\sqrt{-1}\xi')^{\alpha'} \hat{h}(\xi', x_n, \lambda), \\ (\sqrt{-1}\xi')^{\alpha'} (\sqrt{-1}\xi_i)A(\xi', \lambda)^{-2} & \text{if } \hat{g}(\xi', x_n, \lambda) = \partial_{x_n} \hat{h}(\xi', x_n, \lambda). \end{cases}$$

Then we obtain from (3.33) that

$$\|\partial_{x'}^{\alpha'} \partial_{x_i} (e^{-\lambda_1 t}w)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \leq C(\|\partial_{x'}^{\alpha'} (e^{-\lambda_1 t}h)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} + \|\partial_{x_n} (e^{-\lambda_1 t}h)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))})$$

$$(3.34)$$

for any $\lambda_1 \geq 0$, $\alpha' \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$, $\alpha' \geq 0$, $|\alpha'| \leq 1$, $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, where C is a positive constant depending only on n, p, q and κ . Second, it follows from (3.30) that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_n} w(x, t) &= \mathcal{L}_{(\xi', \lambda_2)}^{-1} [\kappa^{-1} e^{-A(\xi', \lambda) x_n} \hat{h}(\xi', 0, \lambda)](x', t), \\ \partial_{x'}^{\beta'} \partial_{x_n} w(x, t) &= \int_0^\infty \mathcal{L}_{(\xi', \lambda_2)}^{-1} [A(\xi', \lambda) e^{-A(\xi', \lambda)(x_n + y_n)} \kappa^{-1} (\sqrt{-1} \xi')^{\beta'} \hat{h}(\xi', y_n, \lambda)](x', t) dy_n \\ &\quad - \int_0^\infty \mathcal{L}_{(\xi', \lambda_2)}^{-1} [e^{-A(\xi', \lambda)(x_n + y_n)} (\sqrt{-1} \xi')^{\beta'} \kappa^{-1} \partial_{y_n} \hat{h}(\xi', y_n, \lambda)](x', t) dy_n \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

for any $\beta' \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$, $\beta' \geq 0$, $|\beta'| \leq 1$. By letting

$$M_2(\xi', \lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \hat{g}(\xi', x_n, \lambda) = (\sqrt{-1} \xi')^{\beta'} \hat{h}(\xi', x_n, \lambda), \\ (\sqrt{-1} \xi')^{\beta'} A(\xi', \lambda)^{-1} & \text{if } \hat{g}(\xi', x_n, \lambda) = \partial_{x_n} \hat{h}(\xi', x_n, \lambda), \end{cases}$$

we can utilize Lemma 2.11 to obtain from (3.35) that

$$\|\partial_{x'}^{\beta'} \partial_{x_n} (e^{-\lambda_1 t} w)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \leq C(\|\partial_{x'}^{\beta'} (e^{-\lambda_1 t} h)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} + \|\partial_{x_n} (e^{-\lambda_1 t} h)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))}) \quad (3.36)$$

for any $\lambda_1 \geq 0$, $\beta' \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$, $\beta' \geq 0$, $|\beta'| \leq 1$, where C is a positive constant depending only on n, p, q and κ . Finally, we notice the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_n}^2 w(x, t) &= -\mathcal{L}_{(\xi', \lambda_2)}^{-1} [\kappa^{-1} A(\xi', \lambda) e^{-A(\xi', \lambda) x_n} \hat{h}(\xi', 0, \lambda)](x', t) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \partial_{x_i}^2 w(x, t) - \kappa^{-1} \partial_t w(x, t) - \kappa^{-1} w(x, t) \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

and the identity $e^{-\lambda_1 t} \partial_t w = \lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_1 t} w + \partial_t (e^{-\lambda_1 t} w)$, and obtain from (3.32), (3.34) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_{x_n}^2 (e^{-\lambda_1 t} w)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} &\leq C(\lambda_1^{1/2} \|e^{-\lambda_1 t} h\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} + \|\langle \partial_t \rangle^{1/2} (e^{-\lambda_1 t} h)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} + \|\nabla (e^{-\lambda_1 t} h)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))}) \\ &\quad (3.38) \end{aligned}$$

for any $\lambda_1 \geq 0$, where C is a positive constant depending only on n, p, q and κ . Therefore, it is clear that (3.28) is established by (3.32), (3.34), (3.36), (3.38).

We remark that $e^{-\lambda_1 t} \geq 1$ for any $\lambda_1 \geq 0$, $t > 0$ and that $h(t) = 0$ for any $t < 0$, and obtain from (3.28) that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 \|w\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_-; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} &\leq \lambda_1 \|e^{-\lambda_1 t} w\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_-; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \\ &\leq C(\lambda_1^{1/2} \|e^{-\lambda_1 t} h\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} + \|e^{-\lambda_1 t} h\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R})}) \\ &\leq C(\lambda_1^{1/2} \|h\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} + \|h\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R})}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\|w\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_-; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \leq C(\lambda_1^{-1/2} \|h\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} + \lambda_1^{-1} \|h\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R})}) \quad (3.39)$$

for any $\lambda_1 > 0$, where C is a positive constant depending only on n, p, q and κ . It is obvious from (3.39) with $\lambda_1 \rightarrow \infty$ that $w(t) = 0$ for any $t < 0$, therefore, $w \in W_{p,q,0}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+)$. $\square$

3.4 L_p - L_q estimates in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$ with $u_0 = 0$

First, we discuss (1.1) with a positive constant κ , $u_0 = 0$ and $g = 0$, that is, the following initial-boundary value problem in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u - \kappa \Delta u &= f && \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ u|_{t=0} &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \kappa \partial_\nu u + \kappa_s u|_{\partial\Omega} &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+. \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

Lemma 3.2 implies that L_p - L_q estimates for time-global solutions to (3.40) are established as follows:

Lemma 3.3. *Let κ be a positive constant, $\kappa_s \in C^1(\partial\Omega)$ satisfy $\kappa_s > 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, $1 < p < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$, $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))$. Then (3.40) has uniquely a solution $u \in W_{p,q,0}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A_p} f(s) ds, \\ \|u\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} &\leq C \|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.41)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, Ω, p, q, κ and κ_s .

Proof. It is essential for Lemma 3.3 to be proved that u satisfies (3.41). First, we obtain the following inequality:

$$\|u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))} \leq C \|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))}, \quad (3.42)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, Ω, p, κ and κ_s . It is clear from (2.3), (2.4) with $\alpha = 1/2$ that

$$\|u(t)\|_{W_p^1(\Omega)} \leq C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2} e^{-\lambda_1(t-s)} \|f(s)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} ds$$

for any $t > 0$, $0 < \lambda_1 < \Lambda_1$, where C is a positive constant depending only on $n, \Omega, p, \kappa, \kappa_s$ and λ_1 and that

$$\int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2} e^{-\lambda_1(t-s)} \|f(s)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} ds = \int_0^t \|f(t-s)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} s^{-1/2} e^{-\lambda_1 s} ds.$$

It follows from the Hölder inequality that

$$\int_0^t \|f(t-s)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} s^{-1/2} e^{-\lambda_1 s} ds \leq \left(\int_0^t \|f(t-s)\|_{L_p(\Omega)}^q s^{-1/2} e^{-\lambda_1 s} ds \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_0^t s^{-1/2} e^{-\lambda_1 s} ds \right)^{1/q^*}$$

for any $t > 0$, $0 < \lambda_1 < \Lambda_1$, where $1 < q^* < \infty$ is the dual exponent to q . We interchange the order of integration with respect to t , and obtain that

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^t \|f(t-s)\|_{L_p(\Omega)} s^{-1/2} e^{-\lambda_1 s} ds \right)^q dt \leq \|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))}^q \left(\int_0^\infty s^{-1/2} e^{-\lambda_1 s} ds \right)^{1+q/q^*},$$

$$\|u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))} \leq C \|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} \int_0^\infty s^{-1/2} e^{-\lambda_1 s} ds = C \left(\frac{\pi}{\lambda_1} \right)^{1/2} \|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))}$$

for any $0 < \lambda_1 < \Lambda_1$, where C is a positive constant depending only on n , Ω , p , q , κ , κ_s and λ_1 . Therefore, it is sufficient for Lemma 3.3 to be proved that

$$\|u\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C(\|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))}), \quad (3.43)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n , Ω , p , q , κ and κ_s .

Second, we give L_p - L_q interior estimates for time-global solutions to (3.40). Set $\Omega_\delta = \{x \in \Omega ; \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) > \delta\}$ for any $\delta > 0$. Then $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be defined as

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(x) = 1 & \text{if } x \in \Omega_\delta, \\ 0 < \varphi(x) < 1 & \text{if } x \in \Omega_{\delta/2} \setminus \overline{\Omega_\delta}, \\ \varphi(x) = 0 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{\Omega_{\delta/2}}. \end{cases}$$

It is clear from (3.40) that φu satisfies the following problem in $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$\partial_t(\varphi u) - \kappa \Delta(\varphi u) + (\varphi u) = f_\delta + (\varphi u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+, \quad (3.44)$$

where $f_\delta = (\varphi f) - \kappa(\Delta\varphi)u - 2\kappa\nabla\varphi \cdot \nabla u$. Since it follows from $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))$ that $f(t) = 0$, $u(t) = 0$ for any $t < 0$, we can apply Lemma 3.1 with $\lambda_1 = 0$ to (3.44), and obtain that

$$\|\varphi u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^2(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \sum_{k=1}^2 \|\varphi u\|_{H_q^{k/2}(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^{2-k}(\mathbb{R}^n))} \leq C \|f_\delta\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\mathbb{R}^n))}, \quad (3.45)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n , p , q and κ . Moreover, it is obvious from the definition of φ and f_δ that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\mathbb{R}^n))} &\geq \|u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega_\delta))}, \\ \|f_\delta\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\mathbb{R}^n))} &\leq \|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + C_\delta \|u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))}, \end{aligned}$$

where C_δ is a positive constant depending only on n , Ω , p , q , κ and δ . Therefore, it follows from (3.45) that

$$\|u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^2(\Omega_\delta))} + \sum_{k=1}^2 \|u\|_{H_q^{k/2}(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^{2-k}(\Omega_\delta))} \leq C_\delta (\|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))}), \quad (3.46)$$

where C_δ is a positive constant depending only on n , Ω , p , q , κ and δ .

Finally, we establish L_p - L_q estimates near the boundary for time-global solutions to (3.40). Set $B_\delta(x_0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n ; |x - x_0| < \delta\}$ for any $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$, $\delta > 0$. Then $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be defined as

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(x) = 1 & \text{if } x \in B_\delta(x_0), \\ 0 < \varphi(x) < 1 & \text{if } x \in B_{2\delta}(x_0) \setminus \overline{B_\delta(x_0)}, \\ \varphi(x) = 0 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{B_{2\delta}(x_0)}. \end{cases}$$

It is clear from (3.40) that φu satisfies the following problem in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(\varphi u) - \kappa \Delta(\varphi u) + (\varphi u) &= f_\delta + (\varphi u) && \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ (\varphi u)|_{t=0} &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \kappa \partial_\nu(\varphi u) + \kappa_s(\varphi u)|_{\partial\Omega} &= f_{b,\delta} && \text{on } \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \end{aligned} \quad (3.47)$$

where $f_\delta = (\varphi f) - \kappa(\Delta\varphi)u - 2\kappa\nabla\varphi \cdot \nabla u$, $f_{b,\delta} = \kappa(\partial_\nu\varphi)u$ and that there exists the orthogonal matrix O such that $O^T\nu(x_0) = (0, \dots, 0, -1)^T$. Set $x = x_0 + Oy$, $\tilde{\Omega} = \{O^T(x - x_0) ; x \in \Omega\}$, $v(y, t) = (\varphi u)(x, t)$, $\tilde{\nu}(y) = O^T\nu(x)$. Then it follows from (3.47) that v satisfies the following problem in $\tilde{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t v - \kappa \Delta v + v &= g_\delta && \text{in } \tilde{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ v|_{t=0} &= 0 && \text{in } \tilde{\Omega}, \\ \kappa \partial_{\tilde{\nu}} v + \kappa_s v|_{\partial\tilde{\Omega}} &= g_{b,\delta} && \text{on } \partial\tilde{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}_+, \end{aligned} \quad (3.48)$$

where $g_\delta(y, t) = f_\delta(x, t) + v(y, t)$, $g_{b,\delta}(y, t) = \kappa(\partial_{\tilde{\nu}}\varphi)(y)u(x, t)$. Let δ be a small positive constant satisfying $\text{supp } v \subset \tilde{B}_{\varepsilon_0}(0)$ for any small positive constant ε_0 , where $\tilde{B}_{\varepsilon_0}(0) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n ; |y| < \varepsilon_0\}$. Then there exist a positive constant $\varepsilon_1 > \varepsilon_0$ and $\chi \in C^2(\tilde{B}'_{\varepsilon_1}(0))$ such that

$$\tilde{B}_{\varepsilon_0}(0) \cap \tilde{\Omega} = \{y = (y', y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n ; y_n > \chi(y'), |y'| < \varepsilon_1\},$$

$$\tilde{B}_{\varepsilon_0}(0) \cap \partial\tilde{\Omega} = \{y = (y', y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n ; y_n = \chi(y'), |y'| < \varepsilon_1\},$$

where $\tilde{B}'_{\varepsilon_1}(0) = \{y' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} ; |y'| < \varepsilon_1\}$. Moreover, it follows from $\tilde{\nu}(0) = (0, \dots, 0, -1)^T$ that $\chi(0) = 0$, $\nabla'\chi(0) = 0$, $\tilde{\nu}(y') = (\nabla'\chi(y'), -1)^T / \sqrt{1 + |\nabla'\chi(y')|^2}$. Let $\delta_0 \geq \delta$ be a small positive constant satisfying $0 < \varepsilon_0 < \varepsilon_1/2$, $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ be defined as

$$\begin{cases} \psi(y') = 1 & \text{if } |y'| \leq 1, \\ 0 < \psi(y') < 1 & \text{if } 1 < |y'| < 2, \\ \psi(y') = 0 & \text{if } |y'| \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

$\omega(y') = \psi(y'/\varepsilon_0)\chi(y')$, $H_\omega^n = \{y = (y', y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n ; y_n > \omega(y'), y' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\}$, $\partial H_\omega^n = \{y = (y', y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n ; y_n = \omega(y'), y' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\}$, $\nu_\omega \in C^2(\partial H_\omega^n)$ be the outward unit normal vector on ∂H_ω^n . Then it follows from (3.48) that v satisfies the following problem in $H_\omega^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t v - \kappa \Delta v + v &= g_\delta && \text{in } H_\omega^n \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ v|_{t=0} &= 0 && \text{in } H_\omega^n, \\ \kappa \partial_{\nu_\omega} v + \kappa_s v|_{\partial H_\omega^n} &= g_{b,\delta} && \text{on } \partial H_\omega^n \times \mathbb{R}_+. \end{aligned} \quad (3.49)$$

Moreover, it is clear from the definition of ω and ν_ω that $\omega(0) = 0$, $\nabla'\omega(0) = 0$, $\nu_\omega(y') = (\nabla'\omega(y'), -1)^T / \sqrt{1 + |\nabla'\omega(y')|^2}$. Since $\chi(0) = 0$, $\nabla'\chi(0) = 0$, we can utilize the following formula:

$$\nabla'\omega(y') = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0} \nabla'\psi\left(\frac{y'}{\varepsilon_0}\right) \chi(y') + \psi\left(\frac{y'}{\varepsilon_0}\right) \nabla'\chi(y')$$

and the following Taylor formula:

$$\chi(y') = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha' \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}, \alpha' \geq 0, |\alpha'|=2} \partial_{y'}^{\alpha'} \chi(y'_0) y'^{\alpha'}, \quad \exists y'_0 \in \tilde{B}'_{\varepsilon_1}(0),$$

$$\nabla'\chi(y') = \nabla'^2 \chi(y'_1) y'^T, \quad \exists y'_1 \in \tilde{B}'_{\varepsilon_1}(0)$$

to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla'\omega(y')| &\leq \frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{|y'|}{\varepsilon_0}\right)^2 \left| \nabla'\psi\left(\frac{y'}{\varepsilon_0}\right) \right| |\nabla'^2 \chi(y'_0)|_{\varepsilon_0} + \left(\frac{|y'|}{\varepsilon_0}\right) \left| \psi\left(\frac{y'}{\varepsilon_0}\right) \right| |\nabla'^2 \chi(y'_1)|_{\varepsilon_0} \\ &\leq \frac{n}{2} \max_{|y'| \leq 2} (|y'|^2 |\nabla'\psi(y')|) |\nabla'^2 \chi(y'_0)|_{\varepsilon_0} + \max_{|y'| \leq 2} (|y'| |\psi(y')|) |\nabla'^2 \chi(y'_1)|_{\varepsilon_0} \\ &\leq C \max_{|y'| \leq \varepsilon_1} |\nabla'^2 \chi(y')|_{\varepsilon_0}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\|\nabla'\omega\|_{C_b(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})} \leq C \max_{|y'| \leq \varepsilon_1} |\nabla'^2 \chi(y')|_{\varepsilon_0}, \quad (3.50)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n . Set

$$M_k = \sum_{\alpha' \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}, \alpha' \geq 0, |\alpha'|=k} \|\partial^{\alpha'} \omega\|_{C_b(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}$$

for any $k = 0, 1, 2$. Then

$$M_1 \leq C \max_{|y'| \leq \varepsilon_1} |\nabla'^2 \chi(y')|_{\varepsilon_0}, \quad (3.51)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n . Set $(z', z_n) = (y', y_n - \omega(y'))$, $w(z, t) = v(y, t)$, $h_\delta(z, t) = g_\delta(y, t)$. Then it follows from (3.49) and the following formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{y_i} &= \begin{cases} \partial_{z_i} - (\partial_{z_i} \omega) \partial_{z_n} & \text{if } i = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ \partial_{z_n} & \text{if } i = n, \end{cases} \\ \partial_{y_i}^2 &= \begin{cases} \partial_{z_i}^2 - (\partial_{z_i}^2 \omega) \partial_{z_n} - 2(\partial_{z_i} \omega) \partial_{z_i} \partial_{z_n} + (\partial_{z_i} \omega)^2 \partial_{z_n}^2 & \text{if } i = 1, \dots, n-1, \\ \partial_{z_n}^2 & \text{if } i = n, \end{cases} \\ -\Delta_y &= -\Delta_z + (\Delta'_z \omega) \partial_{z_n} + 2\nabla'_{z'} \omega \cdot \nabla'_{z'} \partial_{z_n} - |\nabla'_{z'} \omega|^2 \partial_{z_n}^2 \end{aligned}$$

that w satisfies the following problem in $\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t w - \kappa \Delta w + w &= h_\delta + r_\delta && \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ w|_{t=0} &= 0 && \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^n, \\ \kappa \partial_{z_n} w|_{\partial \mathbb{R}_+^n} &= h_{b,\delta} && \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+, \end{aligned} \quad (3.52)$$

where $r_\delta = \kappa\{-(\Delta'\omega)\partial_{z_n}w - 2\nabla'\omega \cdot \nabla'(\partial_{z_n}w) + |\nabla'\omega|^2\partial_{z_n}^2w\}$, $h_{b,\delta} = \kappa(\partial_{z_n}\varphi)u + \{\kappa(\nabla'\omega \cdot \nabla'\varphi)u\}(1+|\nabla'\omega|^2)^{-1} + \kappa_s w(1+|\nabla'\omega|^2)^{-1/2}$. Moreover, it can be easily seen from $f(t) = 0$, $u(t) = 0$ for any $t < 0$ that $h_\delta, r_\delta \in L_{q,0}(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))$, $h_{b,\delta} \in H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+)$. By applying Lemma 3.2 with $\lambda_1 = 0$ to (3.52), we obtain that

$$\|w\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C(\|h_\delta\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} + \|r_\delta\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} + \|h_{b,\delta}\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+)}), \quad (3.53)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, p, q and κ . It is clear from the definition of h_δ, r_δ and $h_{b,\delta}$ that

$$\|h_\delta\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \leq \|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + C_{x_0,\delta}\|u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))}, \quad (3.54)$$

where $C_{x_0,\delta}$ is a positive constant depending only on $n, \Omega, p, q, \kappa, x_0$ and δ ,

$$\|r_\delta\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \leq C_{x_0,\delta}M_2\|u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))} + CM_1(1+M_1)\|w\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^2(\mathbb{R}_+^n))}, \quad (3.55)$$

where $C_{x_0,\delta}$ is a positive constant depending only on $n, \Omega, p, q, \kappa, x_0$ and δ , C is a positive constant depending only on n, p, q and κ ,

$$\|h_{b,\delta}\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C_{x_0,\delta}\{(1+M_1+M_2)\|u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))} + (1+M_1)\|\langle \partial_t \rangle^{1/2}u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))}\}, \quad (3.56)$$

where $C_{x_0,\delta}$ is a positive constant depending only on $n, \Omega, p, q, \kappa, \kappa_s, x_0$ and δ . We can utilize (3.53)–(3.56) to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \{1 - CM_1(1+M_1)\}\|w\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \\ & \leq C\|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + C_{x_0,\delta}\{(1+M_1+M_2)\|u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))} + (1+M_1)\|\langle \partial_t \rangle^{1/2}u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))}\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.57)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, Ω, p, q and κ , $C_{x_0,\delta}$ is a positive constant depending only on $n, \Omega, p, q, \kappa, \kappa_s, x_0$ and δ . Let $M_1(1+M_1) < 1/C$, which is possible in the case where ε_0 is sufficiently small due to (3.51). Then

$$\|w\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C_{x_0,\delta}(\|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))} + \|\langle \partial_t \rangle^{1/2}u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))}), \quad (3.58)$$

where $C_{x_0,\delta}$ is a positive constant depending only on $n, \Omega, p, q, \kappa, \kappa_s, x_0, \delta, M_1$ and M_2 . Since $v(y, t) = w(z, t)$, it is obtained from the change of variables $(z', z_n) = (y', y_n - \omega(y'))$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(H_\omega^n \times \mathbb{R}_+)} & \leq 2\|w\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} + C(1+M_1+M_2)\|\nabla w\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} \\ & \quad + C(1+M_1+M_1^2)\|\nabla^2 w\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))} + \|\partial_t w\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\mathbb{R}_+^n))}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\|v\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(H_\omega^n \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C(1+M_1+M_1^2+M_2)\|w\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}_+^n \times \mathbb{R}_+)}, \quad (3.59)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, p and q . It follows from (3.58), (3.59) that

$$\|v\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(H_\omega^n \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C_{x_0,\delta}(\|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))} + \|\langle \partial_t \rangle^{1/2}u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))}), \quad (3.60)$$

where $C_{x_0, \delta}$ is a positive constant depending only on $n, \Omega, p, q, \kappa, \kappa_s, x_0, \delta, M_1$ and M_2 . Therefore, (3.60) and $v = \varphi u$ imply that

$$\|u\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}((B_\delta(x_0) \cap \Omega) \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C_{x_0, \delta} (\|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))} + \|\langle \partial_t \rangle^{1/2} u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))}), \quad (3.61)$$

where $C_{x_0, \delta}$ is a positive constant depending only on $n, \Omega, p, q, \kappa, \kappa_s, x_0$ and δ . Set $\Omega^\delta = \{x \in \Omega; \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) < \delta\}$. Then, since $\partial\Omega$ is compact in $\mathbb{R}^n$, it follows from (3.61) that

$$\|u\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega^\delta \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C (\|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))} + \|\langle \partial_t \rangle^{1/2} u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))}), \quad (3.62)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on $n, \Omega, p, q, \kappa_s$ and κ . It is obvious from (3.46) with $\delta = \delta_0/2$ and (3.62) that

$$\|u\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C (\|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))} + \|\langle \partial_t \rangle^{1/2} u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))}), \quad (3.63)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, Ω, p, q, κ and κ_s .

It follows from (3.63) and Lemma 2.7 with $s = 1/2$ that

$$\|u\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C \{ \|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + (1+r^{-1/2}) \|u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))} + r^{1/2} \|\partial_t u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} \},$$

$$(1 - Cr^{1/2}) \|u\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C \{ \|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + (1+r^{-1/2}) \|u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))} \}, \quad (3.64)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, Ω, p, q, κ and κ_s . Let $r < (1/C)^2$. Then it is clear that (3.43) is established by (3.64). $\square$

Second, we discuss (1.1) with a positive constant κ and $u_0 = 0$, that is, the following initial-boundary value problem in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u - \kappa \Delta u &= f && \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ u|_{t=0} &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \kappa \partial_\nu u + \kappa_s u|_{\partial\Omega} &= g && \text{on } \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+. \end{aligned} \quad (3.65)$$

Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.3 allow us to obtain the following lemma:

Lemma 3.4. *Let κ be a positive constant, $\kappa_s \in C^1(\partial\Omega)$ satisfy $\kappa_s > 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, $1 < p < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$, $f \in L_{q,0}(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))$, $g \in H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)$. Then (3.65) has uniquely a solution $u \in W_{p,q,0}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ satisfying*

$$\|u\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C (\|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|g\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)}), \quad (3.66)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, Ω, p, q, κ and κ_s .

Proof. Since $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; X)$ and $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))$ are dense in $L_{q,0}(\mathbb{R}_+; X)$ for any Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ and in $H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ respectively, we can assume that $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))$, $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))$. It follows from Theorem 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} -\kappa \Delta v &= f && \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ \kappa \partial_\nu v + \kappa_s v|_{\partial\Omega} &= g && \text{on } \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \end{aligned}$$

has uniquely a solution $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^2(\Omega))$. Moreover, it is obtained from Lemma 3.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t w - \kappa \Delta w &= -\partial_t v && \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ w|_{t=0} &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \kappa \partial_\nu w + \kappa_s w|_{\partial\Omega} &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \end{aligned}$$

has uniquely a solution $w \in W_{p,q,0}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)$. Therefore, it is sufficient for Lemma 3.4 to be proved that $u = v + w$ satisfies (3.65). Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)$, $1 < p^* < \infty$ and $1 < q^* < \infty$ be dual exponents to p and q respectively. Then there exists a positive constant T such that $\varphi(t) = 0$ for any $t > T/2$. Set $\psi(x, t) = -\varphi(x, T - t)$. Then it follows from Lemma 3.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \Psi - \kappa \Delta \Psi &= \psi && \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ \Psi|_{t=0} &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \kappa \partial_\nu \Psi + \kappa_s \Psi|_{\partial\Omega} &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \end{aligned} \tag{3.67}$$

has uniquely a solution $\Psi \in W_{p^*,q^*,0}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ satisfying

$$\|\Psi\|_{W_{p^*,q^*}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C \|\psi\|_{L_{q^*}(\mathbb{R}_+; L_{p^*}(\Omega))}, \tag{3.68}$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, Ω, p, q, κ and κ_s . Set $\Phi(x, t) = \Psi(x, T - t)$. Then $\Phi \in W_{p^*,q^*,0}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ is a unique solution to the following problem in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \Phi + \kappa \Delta \Phi &= \varphi && \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ \Phi|_{t=0} &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \kappa \partial_\nu \Phi + \kappa_s \Phi|_{\partial\Omega} &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \end{aligned} \tag{3.69}$$

satisfying

$$\|\Phi\|_{W_{p^*,q^*}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{L_{q^*}(\mathbb{R}_+; L_{p^*}(\Omega))}, \tag{3.70}$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, Ω, p, q, κ and κ_s . Set

$$\begin{aligned} (u, v)_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+} &= \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+} u(x, t) v(x, t) dx dt, \\ (u, v)_{\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+} &= \int_{\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+} u(x, t) v(x, t) ds_x dt, \end{aligned}$$

and let $\tilde{\nu} \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ be the extension of ν to $\overline{\Omega}$. Then it is derived from integration by parts and (3.69) that

$$\begin{aligned} (u, \varphi)_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+} &= (u, \partial_t \Phi + \kappa \Delta \Phi)_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+} \\ &= -(\partial_t u, \Phi)_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+} + (\kappa \Delta u, \Phi)_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+} + (u, \kappa \partial_\nu \Phi)_{\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+} - (\kappa \partial_\nu u, \Phi)_{\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+} \\ &= (-\partial_t u + \kappa \Delta u, \Phi)_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+} - (\kappa_s u + \kappa \partial_\nu u, \Phi)_{\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+} \\ &= -(f, \Phi)_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+} - (g, \Phi)_{\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(u, \varphi)_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+} = -(f, \Phi)_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+} - \sum_{i=1}^n (\partial_{x_i}(\tilde{\nu}_i g), \Phi)_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+} - \sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{\nu}_i g, \partial_{x_i} \Phi)_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+}.$$

Moreover, it follows from (3.70) that

$$\begin{aligned} |(u, \varphi)_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+}| &\leq C(\|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|g\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))}) \|\Phi\|_{L_{q^*}(\mathbb{R}_+; W_{p^*}^1(\Omega))} \\ &\leq C(\|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|g\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))}) \|\varphi\|_{L_{q^*}(\mathbb{R}_+; L_{p^*}(\Omega))}, \\ \|u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} &\leq C(\|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|g\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.71)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n , Ω , p , q , κ and κ_s . Similarly to $(u, \varphi)_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+}$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t u, \varphi)_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+} &= (f, \partial_t \Phi)_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+} + \sum_{i=1}^n (\partial_{x_i}(\tilde{\nu}_i g), \partial_t \Phi)_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+} - \sum_{i=1}^n (\tilde{\nu}_i \partial_t g, \partial_{x_i} \Phi)_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+}, \\ |(\partial_t u, \varphi)_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+}| &\leq |(f, \partial_t \Phi)_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+}| + \sum_{i=1}^n |(\partial_{x_i}(\tilde{\nu}_i g), \partial_t \Phi)_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+}| + \sum_{i=1}^n |(\tilde{\nu}_i \partial_t g, \partial_{x_i} \Phi)_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+}|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.72)$$

It follows from the Parseval identity that

$$|(f, \partial_t \Phi)_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+}| \leq \|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} \|\partial_t \Phi\|_{L_{q^*}(\mathbb{R}_+; L_{p^*}(\Omega))}, \quad (3.73)$$

$$|(\partial_{x_i}(\tilde{\nu}_i g), \partial_t \Phi)_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+}| \leq C \|g\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))} \|\partial_t \Phi\|_{L_{q^*}(\mathbb{R}_+; L_{p^*}(\Omega))} \quad (3.74)$$

for any $i = 1, \dots, n$, where C is a positive constant depending only on Ω ,

$$|(\tilde{\nu}_i \partial_t g, \partial_{x_i} \Phi)_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+}| \leq \|\langle \partial_t \rangle^{1/2} g\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} \|\langle \partial_t \rangle^{1/2} \partial_{x_i} \Phi\|_{L_{q^*}(\mathbb{R}_+; L_{p^*}(\Omega))}. \quad (3.75)$$

It is clear from (3.72)–(3.75) and Lemma 2.8 that

$$\begin{aligned} |(\partial_t u, \varphi)_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+}| &\leq C(\|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|g\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)}) \|\Phi\|_{W_{p^*,q^*}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \\ &\leq C(\|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|g\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)}) \|\varphi\|_{L_{q^*}(\mathbb{R}_+; L_{p^*}(\Omega))}, \\ \|\partial_t u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} &\leq C(\|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|g\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.76)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n , Ω , p , q , κ and κ_s . By applying Theorem 3.1 to the following problem in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$\begin{aligned} -\kappa \Delta u &= f - \partial_t u && \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ \kappa \partial_\nu u + \kappa_s u|_{\partial\Omega} &= g && \text{on } \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain from (3.71), (3.76) that

$$\|u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^2(\Omega))} \leq C(\|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|\partial_t u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|g\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))}),$$

$$\|u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^2(\Omega))} \leq C(\|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|g\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)}), \quad (3.77)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n , Ω , p , κ and κ_s . It is obvious from (3.71), (3.76), (3.77) that u satisfies (3.66). $\square$

Third, we consider (1.1) with $u_0 = 0$ and $g = 0$, that is, the following initial-boundary value problem in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u - \operatorname{div}(\kappa \nabla u) &= f && \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ u|_{t=0} &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \kappa \partial_\nu u + \kappa_s u|_{\partial\Omega} &= 0 && \text{on } \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+. \end{aligned} \quad (3.78)$$

Lemma 3.4 and freezing coefficients play an important role in proving the following lemma:

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\kappa \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfy $\kappa > 0$ on $\overline{\Omega}$, $\kappa_s \in C^1(\partial\Omega)$ satisfy $\kappa_s > 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, $1 < p < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$, $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))$. Then (3.78) has uniquely a solution $u \in W_{p,q,0}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ satisfying*

$$u(t) = \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A_p} f(s) ds,$$

$$\|u\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C\|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))}, \quad (3.79)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n , Ω , p , q , κ and κ_s .

Proof. It is sufficient for Lemma 3.5 to be proved that u satisfies (3.79). We can easily see from Lemma 3.3 that

$$\|u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))} \leq C\|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))}, \quad (3.80)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n , Ω , p , κ and κ_s . It follows from (3.71) that we have only to obtain the following inequality:

$$\|u\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C(\|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))}), \quad (3.81)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n , Ω , p , q , κ and κ_s .

Since $\kappa \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$, there exists a positive constant δ for any positive constant ε such that $|\kappa(x) - \kappa(x_0)| < \varepsilon$ for any $x, x_0 \in \overline{\Omega}$, $x \in B_\delta(x_0)$ and such that $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be defined as

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(x) = 1 & \text{if } x \in B_{\delta/2}(x_0), \\ 0 < \varphi(x) < 1 & \text{if } x \in B_\delta(x_0) \setminus \overline{B_{\delta/2}(x_0)}, \\ \varphi(x) = 0 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{B_\delta(x_0)} \end{cases}$$

for any $x_0 \in \overline{\Omega}$. It is clear from (3.78) that φu satisfies the following problem in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(\varphi u) - \kappa(x_0)\Delta(\varphi u) &= f_\delta && \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ (\varphi u)|_{t=0} &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \kappa(x_0)\partial_\nu u + \kappa_s u|_{\partial\Omega} &= g_\delta && \text{on } \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+ \end{aligned} \quad (3.82)$$

for any $x_0 \in \overline{\Omega}$, where $f_\delta = (\varphi f) + (\kappa - \kappa(x_0))\Delta(\varphi u) - 2\kappa\nabla\varphi \cdot \nabla u + \varphi\nabla\kappa \cdot \nabla u - \kappa(\Delta\varphi)u$, $g_\delta = -(\kappa - \kappa(x_0))\partial_\nu(\varphi u) + \kappa(\partial_\nu\varphi)u$. Let ν be extended to $\tilde{\nu} \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$. Then we obtain by applying Lemmas 2.7, 2.8 and 3.4 to (3.82) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi u\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} &\leq C(\|f_\delta\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|g_\delta\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)}), \\ \|f_\delta\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} &\leq C(\|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \varepsilon\|\varphi u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^2(\Omega))} + C_{x_0,\delta}\|u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))}), \\ \|g_\delta\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} &\leq C\varepsilon\|\varphi u\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} + C_{x_0,\delta}(1 + \varepsilon^{-1})\|u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))}, \\ (1 - C\varepsilon)\|\varphi u\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} &\leq C\|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + C_{x_0,\delta}(1 + \varepsilon^{-1})\|u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.83)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, Ω, p, q, κ and κ_s , $C_{x_0,\delta}$ is a positive constant depending only on $n, \Omega, p, q, \kappa, \kappa_s, x_0$ and δ . Let $\varepsilon < 1/C$. Then it follows from (3.83) that

$$\|u\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}((B_{\delta/2}(x_0) \cap \Omega) \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C_{x_0,\delta}(\|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))}) \quad (3.84)$$

for any $x_0 \in \overline{\Omega}$, where $C_{x_0,\delta}$ is a positive constant depending only on $n, \Omega, p, q, \kappa, \kappa_s, x_0$ and δ . Since $\overline{\Omega}$ is compact in $\mathbb{R}^n$, (3.81) is established by (3.84). $\square$

Finally, we discuss (1.1) with $u_0 = 0$, that is, the following initial-boundary value problem in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u - \kappa \Delta u &= f && \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ u|_{t=0} &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \kappa \partial_\nu u + \kappa_s u|_{\partial\Omega} &= g && \text{on } \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+. \end{aligned} \quad (3.85)$$

It can be easily seen from Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.5 that we obtain the following L_p - L_q estimates for time-global solutions to (3.85):

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\kappa \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfy $\kappa > 0$ on $\overline{\Omega}$, $\kappa_s \in C^1(\partial\Omega)$ satisfy $\kappa_s > 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, $1 < p < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$, $f \in L_{q,0}(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))$, $g \in H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)$. Then (3.85) has uniquely a solution $u \in W_{p,q,0}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ satisfying*

$$\|u\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C(\|f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|g\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)}), \quad (3.86)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, Ω, p, q, κ and κ_s .

Proof. It is the same as in Lemma 3.4. $\square$

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\kappa \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfy $\kappa > 0$ on $\overline{\Omega}$, $\kappa_s \in C^1(\partial\Omega)$ satisfy $\kappa_s > 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, $1 < p < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$. Then there exists a positive constant λ_1^0 depending only on n, Ω, p, q, κ and κ_s such that if $e^{\lambda_1 t} f \in L_{q,0}(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))$, $e^{\lambda_1 t} g \in H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ for some $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_1^0$, then (3.85) has uniquely a solution $u \in W_{p,q,0}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ satisfying*

$$\|e^{\lambda_1 t} u\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C_{p,q,\lambda_1}(\|e^{\lambda_1 t} f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|e^{\lambda_1 t} g\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)}), \quad (3.87)$$

where C_{p,q,λ_1} is a positive constant depending only on $n, \Omega, p, q, \kappa, \kappa_s$ and λ_1 .

Proof. It is clear from the identity $e^{\lambda_1 t} \partial_t u = -\lambda_1 e^{\lambda_1 t} u + \partial_t(e^{\lambda_1 t} u)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(e^{\lambda_1 t} u) - \operatorname{div}(\kappa \nabla(e^{\lambda_1 t} u)) &= (e^{\lambda_1 t} f) + \lambda_1(e^{\lambda_1 t} u) && \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ (e^{\lambda_1 t} u)|_{t=0} &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \kappa \partial_\nu(e^{\lambda_1 t} u) + \kappa_s(e^{\lambda_1 t} u)|_{\partial\Omega} &= (e^{\lambda_1 t} g) && \text{on } \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+. \end{aligned} \quad (3.88)$$

It can be easily seen from Lemma 3.6 that (3.88) has uniquely a solution $u \in W_{p,q,0}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ satisfying

$$\|e^{\lambda_1 t} u\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C(\|e^{\lambda_1 t} f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \lambda_1 \|e^{\lambda_1 t} u\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|e^{\lambda_1 t} g\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)}), \quad (3.89)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, Ω, p, q, κ and κ_s . Let $\lambda_1^0 < 1/C$. Then (3.89) clearly leads to (3.87). $\square$

4 Proof of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2

4.1 Proof of Theorem 2.1

Set $v(t) = e^{-tA_p} u_0$. Then it is clear from Theorem 3.2 that v is a unique solution to (1.1) with $f = 0, g = 0$ satisfying

$$\|v\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C \|u_0\|_{X_{p,q}(\Omega)}, \quad (4.1)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, Ω, p, q, κ and κ_s . Therefore, it is essential for Theorem 2.1 to be proved that (1.1) has uniquely a solution $u = v + w$, where w is a unique solution to (1.1) with $u_0 = 0$ satisfying

$$\|w\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C(\|f\|_{L_q((0,T); L_p(\Omega))} + \|g\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times (0,T))}), \quad (4.2)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, Ω, p, q, κ and κ_s . Let $\tilde{f} \in L_{q,0}(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))$ and $\tilde{g} \in H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ satisfy $\tilde{f}(t) = f(t), \tilde{g}(t) = g(t)$ for any $0 < t < T$, $\|\tilde{f}\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} = \|f\|_{L_q((0,T); L_p(\Omega))}$, $\|\tilde{g}\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq 2\|g\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times (0,T))}$. Then it follows from the definition of $\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{g}$ that w must satisfy the following problem in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t w - \operatorname{div}(\kappa \nabla w) &= \tilde{f} && \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ w|_{t=0} &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \kappa \partial_\nu w + \kappa_s w|_{\partial\Omega} &= \tilde{g} && \text{on } \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

By applying Theorem 3.3 with $\lambda_1 = 0$ to (4.3), we obtain that w is a unique solution to (4.3) satisfying

$$\|w\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C(\|\tilde{f}\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|\tilde{g}\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)}),$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, Ω, p, q, κ and κ_s , which implies (4.2). Therefore, it is clear that (2.6) is established by (4.1), (4.2).

4.2 Proof of Theorem 2.2

Set $v(t) = e^{-tA_p}u_0$. Then it follows from Theorem 3.2 that v is a unique solution to (1.1) with $f = 0$, $g = 0$ satisfying

$$\|e^{\lambda_1 t}v\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C\|u_0\|_{X_{p,q}(\Omega)} \quad (4.4)$$

for any $0 < \lambda_1 < \Lambda_1/2$, where C is a positive constant depending only on n , Ω , p , q , κ , κ_s and λ_1 . Therefore, it is sufficient for Theorem 2.2 that (1.1) has uniquely a solution $u = v + w$, where w satisfies (1.1) with $u_0 = 0$ and

$$\|e^{\lambda_1 t}w\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C(\|e^{\lambda_1 t}f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|e^{\lambda_1 t}g\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)}) \quad (4.5)$$

for some $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_1^0$, where C is a positive constant depending only on n , Ω , p , q , κ , κ_s and λ_1 , λ_1^0 is a positive constant defined as in Theorem 3.3. Let $e^{\lambda_1 t}\tilde{f} \in L_{q,0}(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))$ satisfy $\tilde{f}(t) = f(t)$ for any $t > 0$, $\|e^{\lambda_1 t}\tilde{f}\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} = \|e^{\lambda_1 t}f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))}$. Then it follows from the definition of $\tilde{f}$ that w must satisfies the following problem in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t w - \operatorname{div}(\kappa \nabla w) &= \tilde{f} & \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ w|_{t=0} &= 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \kappa \partial_\nu w + \kappa_s w|_{\partial\Omega} &= \tilde{g} & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

It can be easily seen from Theorem 3.3 that (4.6) has uniquely a solution w satisfying

$$\|e^{\lambda_1 t}w\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C(\|e^{\lambda_1 t}\tilde{f}\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|e^{\lambda_1 t}g\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)})$$

for some $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_1^0$, where C is a positive constant depending only on n , Ω , p , q , κ , κ_s and λ_1 , which implies (4.5). Therefore, it is obvious that (2.7) is established by (4.4), (4.6).

5 Global L_p - L_q estimates for solutions to (1.2)

Let r be, throughout this section, a real number satisfying

$$\begin{cases} 1 < r \leq \frac{n}{n-p} & \text{if } 1 < p < n, \\ 1 < r < \infty & \text{if } n \leq p < \infty. \end{cases}$$

It is useful to remark that L_p - L_q estimates for nonlinear terms are obtained from the following lemma:

Lemma 5.1. *Let $1 < q < \infty$, I be a subinterval on $[0, \infty)$, $(X_0, \|\cdot\|_{X_0})$ and $(X_1, \|\cdot\|_{X_1})$ be a pair of Banach spaces such that X_1 is dense and continuously included in X_0 . Then*

$$W_q^1(I; X_0) \cap L_q(I; X_1) \hookrightarrow BUC(I; (X_0, X_1)_{1-1/q, q}), \quad (5.1)$$

where $\hookrightarrow$ is the continuous inclusion.

Proof. It is [3, Theorem 4.10.2]. □

By applying Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 to (1.2), it can be easily seen from the Banach fixed point theorem that L_p - L_q estimates for time-local and time-global solutions to (1.2) are established as follows:

Theorem 5.1. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with its $C^{1,1}$ -boundary $\partial\Omega$, $\kappa \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfy $\kappa > 0$ on $\overline{\Omega}$, $\kappa_s \in C^1(\partial\Omega)$ satisfy $\kappa_s > 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, $0 < T < \infty$, $1 < p < \infty$, $2 < q < \infty$, $u_0 \in X_{p,q}(\Omega)$, $f \in L_q((0, T); L_p(\Omega))$, $g \in H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times (0, T))$. Then there exists a positive constant $T_* \leq T$ depending only on $n, \Omega, p, q, \kappa, \kappa_s, r, u_0, f$ and g such that (1.2) has uniquely a solution $u \in W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0, T_*))$ satisfying*

$$\|u\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0, T_*))} \leq C_{p,q}(\|u_0\|_{X_{p,q}(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{L_q((0, T); L_p(\Omega))} + \|g\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times (0, T))}), \quad (5.2)$$

where $C_{p,q}$ is a positive constant depending only on $n, \Omega, p, q, \kappa, \kappa_s$ and r .

Proof. Set

$$B(R, T_*) = \{\bar{u} \in W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0, T_*)) ; \|\bar{u}\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0, T_*))} \leq R\}$$

for any $R \geq 0$, $0 < T_* \leq T$. Let S be the mapping from $B(R, T_*)$ to $W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0, T_*))$ defined as $S(\bar{u}) = u$, where u is a solution to the following problem in $\Omega \times (0, T_*)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u - \operatorname{div}(\kappa \nabla u) &= f(\bar{u}) && \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T_*), \\ u|_{t=0} &= u_0 && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \kappa \partial_\nu u + \kappa_s u|_{\partial\Omega} &= g && \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T_*), \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

where $f(\bar{u}) = f + |\bar{u}|^{r-1}\bar{u}$. Then Theorem 2.1 implies that

$$\|u\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0, T_*))} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{X_{p,q}(\Omega)} + \|f(\bar{u})\|_{L_q((0, T_*); L_p(\Omega))} + \|g\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times (0, T_*))}), \quad (5.4)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, Ω, p, q, κ and κ_s . Moreover, it is clear from Lemma 5.1 with $X_0 = L_p(\Omega)$, $X_1 = W_p^2(\Omega)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(\bar{u})\|_{L_q((0, T_*); L_p(\Omega))} &\leq \|f\|_{L_q((0, T_*); L_p(\Omega))} + \|\bar{u}\|_{L_{qr}((0, T_*); L_{pr}(\Omega))}^r \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L_q((0, T); L_p(\Omega))} + T_*^{1/q} \|\bar{u}\|_{L_\infty((0, T_*); W_p^1(\Omega))}^r \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L_q((0, T); L_p(\Omega))} + T_*^{1/q} \|\bar{u}\|_{L_\infty((0, T_*); B_{p,q}^{2(1-1/q)}(\Omega))}^r, \end{aligned}$$

$$\|f(\bar{u})\|_{L_q((0, T_*); L_p(\Omega))} \leq \|f\|_{L_q((0, T); L_p(\Omega))} + CT_*^{1/q} \|\bar{u}\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0, T_*))}^r, \quad (5.5)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, Ω, p, q and r . It can be easily seen from (5.4), (5.5) that

$$\|u\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0, T_*))} \leq C_{p,q}(\|u_0\|_{X_{p,q}(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{L_q((0, T); L_p(\Omega))} + \|g\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times (0, T))} + T_*^{1/q} R^r), \quad (5.6)$$

where $C_{p,q}$ is a positive constant depending only on $n, \Omega, p, q, \kappa, \kappa_s$ and r . Set

$$R = R_{p,q} := 2C_{p,q}(\|u_0\|_{X_{p,q}(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{L_q((0, T); L_p(\Omega))} + \|g\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times (0, T))}), \quad (5.7)$$

$$T_1 = \left(\frac{1}{2C_{p,q}R_{p,q}^{r-1}} \right)^q. \quad (5.8)$$

Then S can be defined as a mapping in $B(R_{p,q}, T_*)$ for any $0 < T_* \leq T_1$. Set $u_i = S(\bar{u}_i)$ for any $\bar{u}_i \in B(R_{p,q}, T_*)$, $i = 1, 2$. Then it follows from Theorem 2.1, the Hölder inequality and Lemma 5.1 with $X_0 = L_p(\Omega)$, $X_1 = W_p^{2,1}(\Omega)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_2 - u_1\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0, T_*))} &\leq C \|f(\bar{u}_2) - f(\bar{u}_1)\|_{L_q((0, T_*); L_p(\Omega))} \\ &\leq C (\|\bar{u}_1\|_{L_{qr}((0, T_*); L_{pr}(\Omega))}^{r-1} + \|\bar{u}_2\|_{L_{qr}((0, T_*); L_{pr}(\Omega))}^{r-1}) \|\bar{u}_2 - \bar{u}_1\|_{L_{qr}((0, T_*); L_{pr}(\Omega))} \\ &\leq CT_*^{1/q} (\|\bar{u}_1\|_{L_\infty((0, T_*); W_p^1(\Omega))}^{r-1} + \|\bar{u}_2\|_{L_\infty((0, T_*); W_p^1(\Omega))}^{r-1}) \|\bar{u}_2 - \bar{u}_1\|_{L_\infty((0, T_*); W_p^1(\Omega))}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\|u_2 - u_1\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0, T_*))} \leq CR_{p,q}^{r-1} T_*^{1/q} \|\bar{u}_2 - \bar{u}_1\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times (0, T_*))}, \quad (5.9)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on $n, \Omega, p, q, \kappa, \kappa_s$ and r . Let

$$T_2 < \left(\frac{1}{CR_{p,q}^{r-1}} \right)^q. \quad (5.10)$$

Then S is a contraction mapping in $B(R_{p,q}, T_*)$ for any $0 < T_* \leq \min\{T_1, T_2\}$. By applying the Banach fixed point theorem to $B(R_{p,q}, T_*)$ and S , where $T_* = \min\{T_1, T_2\}$, we can conclude that (1.2) has uniquely a solution in $B(R_{p,q}, T_*)$. $\square$

Theorem 5.2. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with its $C^{1,1}$ -boundary $\partial\Omega$, $\kappa \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfy $\kappa > 0$ on $\bar{\Omega}$, $\kappa_s \in C^1(\partial\Omega)$ satisfy $\kappa_s > 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, $1 < p < \infty$, $2 < q < \infty$, $u_0 \in X_{p,q}(\Omega)$. Then there exists a positive constant λ_1^0 depending only on $n, \Omega, p, q, \kappa, \kappa_s$ and r such that if $e^{\lambda_1 t} f \in L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))$, $e^{\lambda_1 t} g \in H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ for some $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_1^0$, then there exists a positive constant ε_{λ_1} depending only on $n, \Omega, p, q, \kappa, \kappa_s, r$ and λ_1 such that (1.2) has uniquely a solution $u \in W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ satisfying*

$$\|e^{\lambda_1 t} u\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C_{p,q,\lambda_1} (\|u_0\|_{X_{p,q}(\Omega)} + \|e^{\lambda_1 t} f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|e^{\lambda_1 t} g\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)}), \quad (5.11)$$

where C_{p,q,λ_1} is a positive constant depending only on $n, \Omega, p, q, \kappa, \kappa_s, r$ and λ_1 provided that

$$\|u_0\|_{X_{p,q}(\Omega)} + \|e^{\lambda_1 t} f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|e^{\lambda_1 t} g\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq \varepsilon_{\lambda_1}.$$

Proof. Set

$$B(\varepsilon) = \{\bar{u} \in W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+) ; \|e^{\lambda_1 t} \bar{u}\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq \varepsilon\}$$

for any $\varepsilon \geq 0$. Let S be the mapping from $B(\varepsilon)$ to $W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ defined as $S(\bar{u}) = u$, where u is a solution to the following problem in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u - \operatorname{div}(\kappa \nabla u) &= f(\bar{u}) && \text{in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ u|_{t=0} &= u_0 && \text{in } \Omega, \\ \kappa \partial_\nu u + \kappa_s u|_{\partial\Omega} &= g && \text{on } \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+, \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

where $f(\bar{u}) = f + |\bar{u}|^{r-1}\bar{u}$. Then it follows from Theorem 2.2 that

$$\|e^{\lambda_1 t} u\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C_{\lambda_1} (\|u_0\|_{X_{p,q}(\Omega)} + \|e^{\lambda_1 t} f(\bar{u})\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|e^{\lambda_1 t} g\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)}), \quad (5.13)$$

where C_{λ_1} is a positive constant depending only on $n, \Omega, p, q, \kappa, \kappa_s$ and λ_1 , λ_1^0 is a positive constant defined as in Theorem 2.2. Moreover, it is obvious from Lemma 5.1 with $X_0 = L_p(\Omega)$, $X_1 = W_p^2(\Omega)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{\lambda_1 t} f(\bar{u})\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} &\leq \|e^{\lambda_1 t} f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|e^{(\lambda_1/r)t} \bar{u}\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; L_{pr}(\Omega))}^{r-1} \|e^{(\lambda_1/r)t} \bar{u}\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_{pr}(\Omega))} \\ &\leq \|e^{\lambda_1 t} f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|e^{(\lambda_1/r)t} \bar{u}\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))}^{r-1} \|e^{(\lambda_1/r)t} \bar{u}\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))} \\ &\leq \|e^{\lambda_1 t} f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|e^{(\lambda_1/r)t} \bar{u}\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; B_{p,q}^{2(1-1/q)}(\Omega))}^{r-1} \|e^{(\lambda_1/r)t} \bar{u}\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\|e^{\lambda_1 t} f(\bar{u})\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} \leq \|e^{\lambda_1 t} f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + C \|e^{(\lambda_1/r)t} \bar{u}\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)}^{r-1} \|e^{(\lambda_1/r)t} \bar{u}\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))}, \quad (5.14)$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, Ω, p, q and r . It can be easily seen from (5.13), (5.14) that

$$\|e^{\lambda_1 t} u\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq C_{p,q,\lambda_1} (\|u_0\|_{X_{p,q}(\Omega)} + \|e^{\lambda_1 t} f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|e^{\lambda_1 t} g\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} + \varepsilon^r), \quad (5.15)$$

where C_{p,q,λ_1} is a positive constant depending only on $n, \Omega, p, q, \kappa, \kappa_s, r$ and λ_1 . Set

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{p,q,\lambda_1} := 2C_{p,q,\lambda_1} (\|u_0\|_{X_{p,q}(\Omega)} + \|e^{\lambda_1 t} f\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} + \|e^{\lambda_1 t} g\|_{H_{p,q,0}^{1,1/2}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)}), \quad (5.16)$$

$$\varepsilon_1 = \left(\frac{1}{2C_{p,q,\lambda_1}} \right)^{1/(r-1)}. \quad (5.17)$$

Then S can be defined as a mapping in $B(\varepsilon_{p,q,\lambda_1})$ for any $0 \leq \varepsilon_{p,q,\lambda_1} \leq \varepsilon_1$. Set $u_i = S(\bar{u}_i)$ for any $\bar{u}_i \in B(\varepsilon_{p,q,\lambda_1})$, $i = 1, 2$. Then it is derived from Theorem 2.2, the Hölder inequality and Lemma 5.1 with $X_0 = L_p(\Omega)$, $X_1 = W_p^2(\Omega)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{\lambda_1 t} (u_2 - u_1)\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} &\leq C_{\lambda_1} \|e^{\lambda_1 t} (f(\bar{u}_2) - f(\bar{u}_1))\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_p(\Omega))} \\ &\leq C_{\lambda_1} (\|e^{(\lambda_1/r)t} \bar{u}_1\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; L_{pr}(\Omega))}^{r-1} + \|e^{(\lambda_1/r)t} \bar{u}_2\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; L_{pr}(\Omega))}^{r-1}) \\ &\quad \times \|e^{(\lambda_1/r)t} (\bar{u}_2 - \bar{u}_1)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; L_{pr}(\Omega))} \\ &\leq C_{\lambda_1} (\|e^{(\lambda_1/r)t} \bar{u}_1\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))}^{r-1} + \|e^{(\lambda_1/r)t} \bar{u}_2\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))}^{r-1}) \\ &\quad \times \|e^{(\lambda_1/r)t} (\bar{u}_2 - \bar{u}_1)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))}, \\ \|e^{\lambda_1 t} (u_2 - u_1)\|_{W_{p,q}^{2,1}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+)} &\leq C_{\lambda_1} \varepsilon_{p,q,\lambda_1}^{r-1} \|e^{(\lambda_1/r)t} (\bar{u}_2 - \bar{u}_1)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}_+; W_p^1(\Omega))} \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

where C_{λ_1} is a positive constant depending only on $n, \Omega, p, q, \kappa, \kappa_s, r$ and λ_1 . Assume that

$$\varepsilon_2 < \left(\frac{1}{C_{\lambda_1}} \right)^{1/(r-1)}. \quad (5.19)$$

Then S is a contraction mapping in $B(\varepsilon_{p,q,\lambda_1})$ for any $0 \leq \varepsilon_{p,q,\lambda_1} \leq \min\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2\}$. By applying the Banach fixed point theorem to $B(\varepsilon_{p,q,\lambda_1})$ and S for any $0 \leq \varepsilon_{p,q,\lambda_1} \leq \min\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2\}$, we can conclude that (1.2) has uniquely a solution in $B(\varepsilon_{p,q,\lambda_1})$. $\square$

References

- [1] R.A. Adams, J.J.F. Fournier, Sobolev Spaces (Second Edition), Academic Press, 2003.
- [2] S. Agmon, A. Douglis, L. Nirenberg, Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions. I, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 12 (1959), 623–727.
- [3] H. Amann, Linear and Quasilinear Parabolic Problems. I: Abstract Linear Theory (Monographs in Mathematics 89), Birkhäuser Verlag, 1995.
- [4] J. Bergh, J. Löfström, Interpolation Spaces: An Introduction, Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [5] J. Bourgain, Some remarks on Banach spaces in which martingale difference sequences are unconditional, Ark. Mat. 21 (1983), 163–168.
- [6] F.E. Browder, Estimates and existence theorems for elliptic boundary value problems, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 45 (1959), 365–372.
- [7] D.L. Burkholder, A geometric condition that implies the existence of certain singular integrals of Banach-space-valued functions, Conference on harmonic analysis in honor of A. Zygmund I (1983), 270–286.
- [8] D. Guidetti, On interpolation with boundary condition, Math. Z. 207 (1991), 439–460.
- [9] D. Henry, Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations (Lecture Notes in Mathematics 840), Springer-Verlag, 1981.
- [10] A. Pazy, Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations (Applied Mathematical Sciences 44), Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- [11] Y. Shibata, S. Shimizu, A decay property of the Fourier transform and its application to the Stokes problem, J. math. fluid mech. 3 (2001), 213–230.
- [12] Y. Shibata, S. Shimizu, On the L_p - L_q maximal regularity of the Neumann problem for the Stokes equations in a bounded domain, J. reine angew. Math. 615 (2008), 157–209.
- [13] P. Weidemaier, Maximal regularity for parabolic equations with inhomogeneous boundary conditions in Sobolev spaces with mixed L_p norm, Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (2002), 47–51.

- [14] L. Weis, Operator-valued Fourier multiplier theorems and maximal L_p -regularity, Math. Ann. 319 (2001), 735–758.